Research Article

VEGETATION RESPONSE TO BURN SEVERITY, NATIVE GRASS SEEDING, AND SALVAGE LOGGING

Penelope Morgan¹, Marshell Moy^{1, 3}, Christine A. Droske^{1, 4}, Sarah A. Lewis², Leigh B. Lentile^{1, 5}, Peter R. Robichaud^{2, *}, Andrew T. Hudak², and Christopher J. Williams⁶

> ¹Department of Forest, Rangeland, and Fire Sciences, University of Idaho, 875 Perimeter Drive MS 1133, Moscow, Idaho 83844, USA

²US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, 1221 South Main Street, Moscow, Idaho 83843, USA

³Current address: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Payette National Forest, 800 West Lakeside Avenue, McCall, Idaho 83638, USA

> ⁴Current address: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Salmon-Challis National Forest, 1206 South Challis Street, Salmon, Idaho 83467, USA

⁵Current address: Department of Forestry and Geology, University of the South, 735 University Avenue, Sewanee, Tennessee 37375, USA

> ⁶Department of Statistical Science, University of Idaho, 875 Perimeter Drive MS 1104, Moscow, Idaho 83844, USA

* Corresponding author: Tel.: +1-208-883-2349; e-mail: probichaud@fs.fed.us

ABSTRACT

RESUMEN

As the size and extent of wildfires has increased in recent decades, so has the cost and extent of post-fire management, including seeding and salvage logging. However, we know little about how burn severity, salvage logging, and post-fire seeding interact to influence vegetation recovery longterm. We sampled understory plant species richness, diversity, and canopy cover one to six years post fire (2006 to 2009, and 2011) on 72 permanent plots selected in a stratified random sample to define post-fire vegetation response to burn severity, post-fire seeding with native grasses,

A medida que el tamaño y la extensión de los incendios han aumentado en las recientes décadas, también lo ha hecho el costo y el alcance del manejo post-fuego, incluyendo la siembra y las cortas de recuperación. Sin embargo, conocemos poco sobre como la severidad del fuego, las cortas de recuperación y las siembras post-fuego interactúan para influir sobre la restauración de la vegetación a largo plazo. En este estudio muestreamos la riqueza de especies del sotobosque, la diversidad, y la cobertura del dosel vegetal entre uno y seis años después del fuego (2006 a 2009, y 2011) en 72 parcelas permanentes seleccionadas en un muestreo estratificado al azar, para definir la respuesta de la vegetación a la severidad del fuego, siembra

and salvage logging on the 2005 School Fire in eastern Washington. Understory vegetation responded rapidly post fire due, in part, to ample low intensity rainfall events in the first post-fire growing season. Vegetation was more diverse with greater plant species richness and diversity (Shannon-Wiener index) in low and moderate burn severity plots in 2006 (species richness 18; diversity 2.3) compared to high burn severity plots (species richness 10; diversity 1.8), with species richness on the high severity plots reaching 19 in the sixth post-fire year, similar to the initial values on the low and moderate burn severity plots. Plants that commonly resprout from rhizomes, bulbs, and other surviving belowground sources were abundant post fire, while those establishing from off-site seed sources, including non-native species, were present but not abundant. Plots seeded with native grass post fire and not salvage logged had the highest canopy cover of graminoid species: more than 30% six years after the fire (in 2011), with low forb (15%) and shrub (1%) canopy cover and species richness. For comparison, high severity plots that were not seeded and not salvage logged had 3% graminoid cover, 14% forb cover, and 26% shrub cover. Plots that had been salvage logged from one to three years after the fire produced less canopy cover of shrubs and forbs, but three times more canopy cover of graminoids on the high burn severity plots by 2011. High severity plots that were salvage logged and not seeded with native grasses had the lowest species richness, diversity, and cover. Very few non-native species were found, regardless of salvage logging post-fuego de especies gramíneas nativas y cortas de recuperación en el incendio de School Fire ocurrido en 2005, al este de Washington. La vegetación del sotobosque respondió rápidamente después del fuego, debido en parte a abundantes lluvias de baja intensidad en las primeras temporadas de crecimiento tras el fuego. La vegetación fue más diversa con mayor riqueza de especies y diversidad (índice de Shannon-Wiener) en parcelas con severidad de fuego baja y moderada (riqueza de especies 18, diversidad: 2.3) comparado con parcelas con severidad de fuego alta (riqueza de especies 10, diversidad 1.8), con riqueza de especies 19 en parcelas de alta severidad seis años post-fuego, similar a los valores iniciales en las parcelas con baja y moderada severidad del fuego. Plantas que comúnmente rebrotan de rizomas, bulbos y otras que sobreviven por debajo de la superficie del suelo, fueron abundantes después del fuego, mientras que aquéllas que se establecieron de fuentes de semilla ubicadas más allá del perímetro quemado, incluyendo especies exóticas, aparecieron pero no en abundancia. Las parcelas sembradas con especies de gramíneas nativas después del fuego y sin recuperación maderera tuvieron las coberturas más altas de especies graminoides, con más del 30% seis años después del fuego (en 2011), con una cobertura baja de hierbas (15%) y de arbustos (1%) y de riqueza de especies. En contraste, las parcelas con severidad alta que no fueron sembradas y en donde tampoco se recuperó la madera, presentaron un 3% de cobertura de especies graminoides, 14% de cobertura de herbáceas y 26% de cobertura de arbustos. Las parcelas en donde se ha recuperado la madera entre uno a tres años después del fuego, produjeron menor cobertura de dosel de arbustos y herbáceas, pero esta cobertura fue tres veces más alta en el dosel de graminoides en las parcelas con alta severidad del fuego en 2011. Las parcelas con alta severidad del fuego cuya madera se recuperó y que no fueron sembradas con gramíneas nativas, presentaron la más baja riqueza, diversidad y cobertura de especies. Muy pocas especies exóticas fueron enand seeding. Rapid post-fire growth dominated by native plants of high diversity suggests that this forest's vegetation and soils are highly resilient to disturbance. Overall, burn severity and post-fire seeding with native grasses were more influential than salvage logging on understory plant abundance one to six years after fire. contradas, independientemente de la recuperación de la madera o de la siembra. El rápido crecimiento post-fuego dominado por plantas nativas de diversidad alta sugiere que la vegetación y los suelos de este bosque son altamente resilientes a las perturbaciones. En general, la severidad del fuego y la siembra post-fuego con especies de gramíneas nativas fue más influyente que la recuperación de madera en la abundancia de plantas del sotobosque, entre uno a seis años después del fuego.

Keywords: fire effects, mixed conifer forests, plant succession, post-fire rehabilitation, salvage logging

Citation: Morgan, P., M. Moy, C.A. Droske, S.A. Lewis, L.B. Lentile, P.R. Robichaud, A.T. Hudak, and C.J. Williams. 2015. Vegetation response to burn severity, native grass seeding, and salvage logging. Fire Ecology 11(2): 31–58. doi: 10.4996/fireecology.1102031

INTRODUCTION

Characterizing post-fire vegetation response is important for predicting how landscapes will respond to large fires, subsequent management activities, and their interactions. The mosaic of burn severities created as fires burn across a landscape of varying vegetation and topography has major implications for post-fire plant species composition, diversity, and abundance (Turner et al. 1997, 1999, 2003; Brown and Smith 2000). Post-fire management after large, severe wildfires can often include seeding or mulching to reduce erosion potential and the spread of invasive species, and salvage logging to remove standing dead trees and recover economic value of some of the trees killed by the fire. The number and size of large fires and total area burned has increased in recent decades (Westerling et al. 2006, Littell et al. 2009), as have the costs of post-fire rehabilitation (Robichaud et al. 2000, 2010, 2014), with long-term implications for ecosystem resilience (Abella and Fornwalt 2015). Interactions between the ecological effects of burn severity, seeding with native grasses, and salvage logging on post-fire recovery of native vegetation are little studied and poorly understood.

Within large forest fires, high burn severity alters vegetation (Lentile *et al.* 2007) and prompts post-fire rehabilitation treatments to reduce erosion and invasion by non-native plant species (Robichaud *et al.* 2010), which could alter post-fire vegetation community development. Many experts have predicted that the large fires of recent decades, portions of which burn with high severity (Dillon *et al.* 2011), will become increasingly common in the future (Littell *et al.* 2009, Spracklen *et al.* 2009).

Burn severity is broadly defined by the effects of the fire on soil and vegetation (Lentile et al. 2006; Morgan et al. 2014). Although burn severity can be measured in a variety of ways (Lentile et al. 2006, Keeley 2009; Morgan et al. 2014), it is commonly mapped from satellite imagery, validated with field observations, and interpreted as relating to tree mortality (Clark and Bobbe 2006) and soil conditions Burn severity can (Parsons et al. 2010). strongly influence post-fire ecosystem recovery (Morgan and Neuenschwander 1988, Lentile et al. 2007, Abella and Fornwalt 2015), but the degree to which salvage logging and seeding with native grass alters vegetation response to burn severity is unknown. Hudak et al. (2007) found that plots burned with low

and moderate burn severity were more spatially variable (with respect to post-fire vegetation and soil conditions) than plots burned with high severity, and also that effects of burn severity on the ground varied at a finer spatial scale than within the overstory. Similarly, Lentile et al. (2007) found that in plots burned at high severity, vegetation cover and species diversity was lower and less variable, while species richness immediately post fire was high as some plants survived in unburned microsites but not all thrived thereafter in the changed post-fire environment. Halpern (1988) found that understory vegetation recovery following logging and burning was characterized by initial rapid change varying with disturbance intensity followed by gradual recovery to pre-disturbance composition. Abella and Fornwalt (2015) found that species richness increased in the first decade after the Hayman Fire, which burned in mixed conifer forests: plant species present before the fire flourished along with new colonizers. Further, the prevalence of native plants indicated that the forest vegetation was highly resilient, but less so where fires burned severely.

Ecologists commonly group plant species to aid analysis. Plant growth forms, including shrubs, forbs, and graminoids, are commonly used for evaluating response to disturbance. However, ecologists have also used plant functional types (Chapin et al. 1993, 1996) and traits (Cornelissen et al. 2003) to understand ecosystem dynamics through species persistence after major disturbances. Short-term vegetation response to disturbance is largely dependent on how plants with differing regeneration strategies (e.g., off-site seeds, seeds that survived the fire in the seedbank, and sprouts from surviving belowground materials) respond to soil heating and thrive in the post-fire environment (McLean 1969, Flinn and Wein 1977, Denslow 1980, Flinn and Pringle 1983, Morgan and Neuenschwander 1988).

Post-fire seeding is commonly used, but has mixed success for reducing erosion and in-

vasive species establishment (Robichaud et al. 2000; Hunter and Omi 2006; Peppin et al. 2010, 2011; Stella et al. 2010). Seeding may inadvertently transport alien plant species and suppress natural regeneration of native woody and herbaceous species (Beyers 2004, Peppin et al. 2010, Stella et al. 2010). Because seeding with native, locally adapted grasses may be both more successful in establishing grass cover and less disruptive to native vegetation recovery, seeding with native species is increasing (Peppin et al. 2011). Both native and non-native perennial graminoids are able to form dense below- and aboveground cover, and often out-compete other early seral regenerating species (Taskey et al. 1989) such as native shrubs, forbs, and trees. In their systematic review of studies, Peppin et al. (2010) found that 62% of 26 studies reported reduced rates of native vegetation recovery following seeding, but concluded that long-term studies are needed to evaluate lasting effects.

The consequences of salvage logging for vegetation recovery after fire are not well understood (Peterson et al. 2009). Post-fire salvage logging is often challenged due to the perception of compounding detrimental ecological effects following fire (McIver and Starr 2001, Beschta et al. 2004). Few have studied salvage logging effects on vegetation recovery, but see Klock (1975), Lindenmayer (2006), and Peterson et al. (2009). Post-fire salvage logging is done to extract marketable timber (Franklin and Agee 2003, Sessions et al. 2004), decrease fuel accumulations (Brown et al. 2003, McIver and Ottmar 2007) that could fuel future fires (Donato et al. 2006, Keyser et al. 2009), and lessen the potential for insect infestation (Brown et al. 2003). Opponents of salvage logging cite altered vegetation recovery and nutrient cycling (Lindenmayer and Noss 2006), lost habitat for cavity nesting birds (Hutto 2006), and damage to established tree seedlings (Donato et al. 2006). Fire-impacted soils may also be susceptible to mineral soil exposure, displacement, and compaction by logging equipment, resulting in increased

potential for sedimentation and erosion (McIver and Starr 2001, Karr *et al.* 2004, Wagenbrenner *et al.* 2015) and potentially compounding the effects of fire on vegetation recovery trajectories.

Objectives and Hypotheses

We quantified the effects of burn severity, salvage logging, and post-fire seeding to help define their individual and combined effects on four different aspects of post-fire vegetation, including understory plant species richness and diversity, and percent canopy cover by plant growth form and regeneration strategy as a functional trait. We measured vegetation on permanent plots for six years after a large wildfire burned in dry mixed conifer forests. We hypothesized that:

- 1) Species richness and diversity would be:
 - a. greater in plots burned with low and moderate burn severity than plots burned with high severity;
 - b. reduced by salvage logging, especially on low and moderate severity burns; and
 - c. be greatly reduced in areas seeded with grass, and become more similar with time since fire.
- 2) Abundance of grasses, forbs, and shrubs would all be influenced by burn severity, salvage logging, and seeding, with forbs and shrubs affected less than grasses, and that differences, though persistent, would become less pronounced with time since fire.
- Abundance of plants grouped by regeneration strategies would all be influenced by burn severity, salvage logging, and seeding, with resprouting plants less affected than those establishing from seed, and that differences,

though persistent, would become less pronounced with time since fire.

- 4) The combined effects of high burn severity, salvage logging, and seeding with grass would result in much lower richness, diversity, and abundance of all growth forms and regeneration strategies.
- 5) Burn severity would be more influential than salvage logging and native grass seeding on post-fire understory vegetation richness, diversity, and abundance, and that non-native species would be more abundant in areas with high burn severity followed by salvage logging relative to areas without salvage logging and also those with and without grass seeding.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

The August 2005 School Fire burned approximately 21000 ha of forest and grassland south of Pomeroy, Washington, on the Umatilla National Forest (Figure 1). Much of this mountainous area contains high plateaus deeply cut by canyons, with steep slopes ranging from 10% to 100%. The fire burned rapidly due to extremely dry fuels (1000-hour fuel moistures <14%), high temperatures, and strong winds (Umatilla National Forest, Pomeroy Ranger District, Pomeroy, Washington, USA; unpublished data). The fire burned into drainages on multiple fronts, and long-range spotting was observed up to 1 km from the main fire. Before the fire, invasive plant populations were concentrated along roadsides on about 300 ha throughout the burned area (Umatilla National Forest, Pendleton, Oregon, USA; unpublished GIS data).

The forest vegetation of the study area ranged from mixed-conifer forest of Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* [Mirb.] Franco),



Figure 1. Plot locations on the 2005 School Fire in southeastern Washington, USA. Plots were stratified by burn severity, salvage logging (horizontal hatch), and seeding with native grasses (vertical hatch).

grand fir (Abies grandis [Douglas ex D. Don] Lindl.) and lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta Douglas ex Loudon var. latifolia Engelm. ex S. Watson) on ridges and plateaus, to ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa Lawson and C. Lawson)-dominated forests along the Tucannon Scouler's willow (Salix scouleriana River. Barratt ex Hook.), white spiraea (Spiraea betulifolia Pall.), common snowberry (Symphoricarpos albus [L.] S.F. Blake), thinleaf huckleberry (Vaccinium membranaceum Douglas ex. Torr), and currant (Ribes L.) species are common shrubs. Primary forb species include heartleaf arnica (Arnica cordifolia Hook.), fireweed (Chamerion angustifolium [L.] Holub), Piper's anemone (Anemone piperi Britton ex Rydb.), and common yarrow (Achillea

millefolium L.). Graminoids are common including bluebunch wheatgrass (Pseudoroegneria spicata [Pursh] A. Löve), California brome (Bromus carinatus Hook. & Arn.), pinegrass (Calamagrostis rubescens Buckley), Geyer's sedge (Carex geyeri Boott), Ross' sedge (Carex rossii Boott), Idaho fescue (Festuca idahoensis Elmer), Sandberg bluegrass (Poa secunda J. Presl.), and others as well as the non-natives cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum L.), orchardgrass (Dactylis glomerata L.), and bulbous bluegrass (Poa bulbosa L.). Introduced, non-native species include prickly lettuce (Lactuca serriola L.), common dandelion (Taraxacum officinale F.H. Wigg), yellow salsify (Tragopogon dubius Scop,), and salsify (Tragopogon porrifolius L.).

The dominant soil was an ashy loamy sand: a Loamy-skeletal, isotic, frigid Vitrandic Argixeroll. Soils derived from basalt, loess deposits, and volcanic ash were 0.5 m to 1 m deep on ridges and plateaus but shallower on slopes (Johnson and Clausnitzer 1991; http:// websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx, accessed 17 September 2013).

Average annual precipitation for the years we sampled (2005 to 2011) was 1460 mm, while average annual daily maximum and minimum temperatures were 10.6 °C and 2.1 °C, respectively (data from nearest weather station, Touchet SNOTEL (Figure 1) 1686 station, 46° 6' 36" N, -117° 51' 0" W, elevation 1681 m). Annual precipitation in the year of the fire (2005) was 1135 mm, and in the subsequent six years was 1671 mm, 1285 mm, 1631 mm, 1572 mm, 1455 mm, and 1473 mm. Thus, except for the very dry year of the fire, these years were slightly wetter than long-term average annual precipitation (1434 mm yr^{1}), but similar to average annual daily maximum and minimum temperatures (10.1 °C and 1.5 °C, respectively, from 1989 to 2010).

Sampling Design

We established 72 permanent plots in 2006 at random locations stratified by burn severity, with more plots located in areas burned with moderate and low severity (Table 1) because of greater heterogeneity and variability in the post-fire conditions than in those of high severity (Lentile et al. 2007). We based our burn severity strata on a Burned Area Response Classification (BARC) map (US Department of Agriculture, Remote Sensing Applications Center, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA) using differenced Normalized Burn Ratio values from pre-fire and immediately post-fire Landsat 5 TM images (Clarke and Bobbe 2006). We confirmed burn severity classes in the field with a small set of test plots immediately after the fire in 2005 and again in summer 2006 on the full set of plots based on tree mortality with low (<20% tree mortality), moderate (20% to 70% tree mortality) and high (>70%) tree mortality) burn severity following Agee (1993). The number of plots per treatment is unequal in Table 1 because we initially select-

Table 1. Sampled plots were distributed among treatments that were combinations of burn severity (high, moderate, and low burn severity, or unburned), seeding with native grasses (seeded or unseeded), and whether or not salvage logging had occurred. Plots were located following a stratified random design with respect to burn severity, seeding, and planned salvage logging. Salvage logging was not completed on all planned plots, and seeding was limited to high burn severity plots, which resulted in an unbalanced experimental design.

Treatment	Number of plots
High severity burn, seeded, salvage logged	2
High severity burn, seeded, not salvage logged	4
High severity burn, not seeded, salvage logged	3
High severity burn, not seeded, not salvage logged	10
Moderate severity burn, salvage logged	9
Moderate severity burn, not salvage logged	17
Low severity burn, salvage logged	6
Low severity burn, not salvage logged	18
Unburned	3
Total number of plots	72

ed plots based on planned salvage logging and seeding treatments, not all of which were implemented. There were few places where we could find unburned plots with similar site conditions as the plots we sampled in the burned areas. We searched extensively, but only found three unburned locations within the fire perimeter that met our criteria for sampling in that they were neither recently harvested nor heavily used for recreation or other land use (Figure 1).

Native grass seeding was applied, using a helicopter in October 2005, to some areas burned with high severity (712 ha). The Umatilla National Forest stores native grass seed grown from locally adapted seed sources and sows it to reduce the potential for soil erosion, and to limit the establishment and spread of invasive plants following fire, logging, or other disturbances. Four native grasses were seeded, including Idaho fescue at 1.7 kg ha⁻¹ with goals of 34 pure live seed (pls) m⁻², Sandberg bluegrass at 3.0 kg ha⁻¹ for 54 pls m⁻², California brome at 39.7 kg ha⁻¹ for 130 pls m⁻², and blue wildrye (Elymus glaucus Buckley) at 10.3 kg ha⁻¹ for 54 pls m⁻² (Umatilla National Forest, Pendleton, Oregon, USA; unpublished data).

Most (72%) of the salvage logging on our plots occurred during the fall and winter of 2006 to 2007. To the best of our knowledge, of the remaining salvage logged plots, 14% were logged during the late fall and winter 2005 to 2006, 9% in the spring and summer 2007 to 2008, and 5% in the spring and summer 2008 to 2009 (Lewis et al. 2012). Plots salvage logged in 2005 to 2006 prior to field sampling in 2006 were assigned to burn severity class based on both field assessments after the fire in late 2005 and our assessment of stumps and standing trees in the first post-fire year. Although we placed half of all plots in each burn severity class (low, moderate, and high) in locations where post-fire salvage logging was planned (based on existing cruise markings in summer 2006 and information from local managers on the Umatilla National Forest), litigation and weather conditions influenced whether or not the plots were actually salvage logged and affected the timing of the salvage logging that did occur. Salvage logging was more often planned and implemented on plots burned with high severity than on plots burned with low or moderate severity, and the marked salvage units varied in size from 1 ha to almost 90 ha, averaging 12 ha. In 2006, the Umatilla National Forest decision to salvage log on 3818 ha total, including three timber sales on 1486 ha, was appealed. In 2007, the salvage logging prescriptions were changed so that no living, fire-damaged trees with more than 50% of their basal cambium living were harvested, and all remnant late and old seral trees greater than 53 cm dbh were retained whether they were dead or alive (USDA Forest Service 2007). Salvage operations were primarily ground based; logs were cut and piled with tracked feller bunchers before a rubber-tired forwarder was used to move the logs to a staging area or landing.

Data Collection

Our plots were at least 30 m horizontal distance away from roads to minimize edge effects, and they were located either completely within a planned salvage unit or entirely excluded from salvage. Each 60 m × 60 m (1.1 ha) sample plot fell within a single burn severity class as indicated by the BARC map, and included five 1 m² subplots where field sampling was performed. One subplot was at the plot center with four more subplots located 30 m slope distance away, with the first directly uphill and the others at 90°, 180°, and 270° orthogonal azimuths from the central subplot. We logged a minimum of 100 positions at the center of each subplot with a Trimble¹ GeoEx-

¹ Trade names are provided for the benefit of the reader and do not imply endorsement by the US Department of Agriculture.

plorer GPS unit (Trimble Navigation Limited, Sunnyvale, California, USA), then differentially corrected and averaged to a locational certainty of 2 m. We marked the center of all plots with rebar, and we marked and geolocated all subplots so we could sample the same plots and subplots in subsequent years. Plot-level data are aggregated means of the five subplots on each plot.

We identified all plant species present in the 1 m \times 1 m subplots and ocularly estimated percent canopy cover for each species and for each plant growth form (graminoid, forb, shrub, tree seedling, and moss or lichen) after standardization among field crew members to reduce sampling error. During sampling, two field technicians concurred on plant identification and ocular estimates, and these were cross-checked at least once each day. Any vegetation hanging into the plot and less than one meter high was considered part of the plot vegetation. We calculated percent tree canopy cover at each subplot using spherical densiometer readings collected facing each of the four cardinal directions surrounding each subplot. When plants could not be identified, we designated and numbered them as unknowns; we later verified all plant species identifications in the Stillinger Herbarium at the University of Nomenclature follows the USDA Idaho. Plants Database (USDA 2014). In the years immediately post fire, plants were generally very small and species identification was often difficult. In order to provide consistent and detailed data, we compared subplot-level species lists between years to identify unknown species when possible.

We measured pre-fire tree density as the total of live and dead trees with dbh greater than 12 cm. These were measured in 2006 on an 8 m diameter circular area around the central subplot on each plot. Of the federally managed areas that burned, 47% (~5935 ha) of the lands had been mechanically treated pre-fire with thinning, prescribed fire, or a combination of prescribed fire and thinning.

Unfortunately, despite consultation with local managers, we were unable to confidently assign pre-fire treatment methods to the stands.

Species Richness and Diversity

We calculated species richness and Shannon-Wiener diversity (Magurran 1988) for each plot by year. Species richness was the total number of species found on site. We calculated the Shannon-Wiener diversity index as

$$H' = \Sigma [p_i \ln(p_i)], \qquad (1)$$

where p_i = the proportion of cover for an individual species relative to the total coverage of all species found in that plot. The Shannon-Wiener index has been criticized as being overly sensitive to changes in species that occur infrequently and in low coverage (Magurran 1988). We did have species that were uncommon or rare on our plots, but we chose to use this index because of its regular use in plant ecological work and because it can be easily interpreted.

We chose percent canopy cover as the measure of abundance as it is widely used for repeated measurements on permanent plots and is related to the degree to which plants compete for space and resources (Bonham 1989). Unfortunately, cover can vary with soil moisture and environmental conditions. Density and biomass are alternative measures. The plants we sampled varied in size and many of the plants we sampled were rhizomatous, which made counting individuals difficult, and biomass measures require destructive sampling and therefore they are not well suited for repeated measures on the same plots (Bonham 1989). We chose to use ocular estimates of cover on small multiple subplots, recognizing that no single method is optimum for all growth forms and all species.

We used repeated measures mixed-effects models (Pinheiro and Bates 2000) to determine the effects of year (random effect with

four degrees of freedom for the five years: 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2011), treatment (fixed effect with eight degrees of freedom for the nine treatments listed in Table 1), and their interaction (32 degrees of freedom for treatment by year interaction). We analyzed first for species richness, and then separately for species diversity. We conducted statistical analyses in SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA) using Proc Mixed because this method can be used to model correlations found when analyzing grouped data; it can handle unbalanced, repeated measures; and it can accommodate different covariance structures. If any interaction effects were significant for a particular variable ($P \le 0.05$), we used the least squares means and simple effects tests (Winer 1971) to better understand the nature of the interaction. Initial analyses of these variables used an optimum covariance structure for each variable chosen with Akaike's information criterion (AIC). For species richness and Shannon-Wiener diversity, an "autoregressive" covariance structure was used. To compare treatment values within year, we used an ANOVA for each variable and the Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison method to control for experiment-wise error.

Vegetation Abundance by Plant Growth Form and Regeneration Strategy

We calculated the average percent cover by plant growth form (graminoid, forb, shrub, tree, and moss or lichen) and post-fire regeneration strategy by summing the averaged observed values on the five 1 m \times 1 m subplots for each plot in each year. We identified postfire regeneration strategies (NS = nonsurvivor; OC = off-site colonizer; SR = survivor rhizomes; RC = residual colonizer; and SRCB = survivor taproot, caudex, or bulb) for each individual species using the categories of Stickney and Campbell (2000), the Fire Effects Information System (FEIS 2010), the USDA Plants Database (USDA 2014), and regional plant identification guides (Taylor and Douglas 1995, Johnson 1998, Kershaw *et al.* 1998). We divided resprouters into two groups based on observations by Morgan and Neuenschwander (1988) that rhizomatous plants respond differently than other resprouters to burn severity, although we did not account for depth of rhizomes, bulbs, and other structures from which plants resprout.

We again used repeated measures mixed-effects models (SAS Proc Mixed, Pinheiro and Bates 2000) to determine the effects of year (random effect with four degrees of freedom for the five years: 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2011), treatment (fixed effect with eight degrees of freedom for the nine treatments listed in Table 1), and their interaction (32 degrees of freedom for treatment by year interaction). First we analyzed abundance by plant form, and then abundance by regeneration strategy. Because the results of the fixed-effects tests did not change over a variety of candidate covariance structures, we used an "unstructured" covariance structure for all variables to allow for easier comparisons. In order to meet the assumptions of normality and equal variances, we used a square root transformation for cover of all plant forms and most regeneration strategies (Table 2), but we did not need to transform the species richness and diversity measures. With the low amount of cover in each of the survivor rhizome (SR) and residual colonizer (RC) regeneration strategy groups, a square root transformation did not meet the normality and variance assumptions, so a Box-Cox transformation procedure (Box and Cox 1964) led to the use of a one-quarter power transformation, which best stabilized the variance of the residuals.

Factors Influencing Vegetation Response

In order to understand how site-specific variables contributed to vegetation composition, we used regression analysis (Proc GLM, SAS Institute 2001) to analyze the 2009 vege-

Table 2. Graminoid, forb, and shrub species listed by scientific names, common names, status (N = native, I = introduced), primary regeneration strategy (NS = nonsurvivor; OC = off-site colonizer; SR = survivor rhizome; RC = residual colonizer; SRCB = survivor taproot, caudex, or bulb), and source for regeneration strategy information. All nomenclature is consistent with the USDA Plants Database (USDA 2014).

			Reg	eneration
Scientific name	Common name	Statu	s Strateg	y Source ¹
Graminoids				
Achnatherum thurberianum (Piper) Barkworth	Thurber's needlegrass	Ν	SRCB	FEIS
Agrostis scabra Willd.	rough bentgrass	Ν	OC	S&C 2000
Alopecurus L. spp.	foxtail	Ν	OC	FEIS
Apera interrupta (L.) P. Beauv.	dense silkybent	Ι	OC	Burke Museum
Bromus L. spp.	brome	N/I	OC	FEIS
Bromus carinatus Hook, & Arn.	California brome	Ν	OC	FEIS
Bromus tectorum L.	cheatgrass	Ι	OC	S&C 2000
Calamagrostis canadensis (Michx.) P. Beauv.	bluejoint	Ν	SR	FEIS
Calamagrostis rubescens Buckley	pinegrass	Ν	SR	S&C 2000
Carex L. spp.	sedge	N	RC	S&C 2000
Carex concinnoides Mack.	northwestern sedge	Ν	SR	Burke Museum
Carex geveri Boott	Gever's sedge	N	SR	S&C 2000
Carex rossii Boott	Ross' sedge	N	RC	S&C 2000
Dactylis glomerata L	orchardgrass	I	OC	S&C 2000
Danthonia unispicata (Thurb.) Munro ex Macoun	onespike danthonia	N	0C	FEIS
Elymus glaucus Buckley	blue wildrye	N	SRCB	S&C 2000
Enstruct compositions Buckley	rough fescue	N	SRCB	FEIS
Fastuca idahoansis Elmer	Idaho fescue	N	SRCB	FEIS
Hordown jubatum I	foxtail barley	N	OC	FEIS
Koglaria magrantha (Ledeb.) Schult	prairie Junegrass	N	SPCB	FEIS
Organsis asparifolia Michy	roughleaf ricegrass	N	SPCB	Kershaw at al. 1008
Di y20psis usperijoliu Michx.	timothy	IN	OC	S&C 2000
Deg hulberg I	hulbourg bluegroog	T	SPCP	Sac 2000
Pou buildosa L.	Wheeler hluegrass	I N	SKCD	FEIS Vorabouv at al. 1009
Pou nervosa (nook.) vasey	Sondharg hluagraad	IN N	SPCP	Keishaw et al. 1998
Poa secunda J. Presi	Sandberg bluegrass	IN	SKCB	FEIS
Pseudoroegneria spicata (Pursh) A. Love	bluebunch wheatgrass	IN	SKCB	FEIS
Schedonorus pratensis (Huds.) P. Beauv.	meadow lescue	1	SK	Burke Museum
roros Achillag millafolium I		NI/I	00	S&C 2000
Actual millefolium L.	rad hanaharry	IN/I N	SPCP	Sac 2000
Actaed rubra (Alton) willd.	nettlalaaf gignt hyggon	IN N	SKUD	FEIS USDA Dianta
Agastache urticijolia (Dentil.) Kunize	nettielear grant nyssop	IN	00	USDA Plants
Agoseris Kal. spp.	agoseris	IN N/I	SPCP	S&C 2000
Allum L. spp.	Onion	IN/I N	SKCB	S&C 2000
Anaphalis margaritacea (L.) Benin.	western pearly everlasting	IN	OU SDCD	S&C 2000
Anemone piperi Britton ex Kydb.	Piper's anemone	N	SKCB	S&C 2000
Antennaria Gaerth. spp.	pussytoes	N		S&C 2000
Apocynum androsaemifolium L.	spreading dogbane	N	SK	S&C 2000
Arabis hirsuta (L.) Scop.	hairy rockcress	N	SRCB	Kershaw <i>et al.</i> 1998
Arabis holboellii Hornem.	Holboell's rockcress	N	00	S&C 2000
Arabis sparsiflora Nutt.	sicklepod rockcress	N	00	Burke Museum
Arenaria congesta Nutt.	ballhead sandwort	N	OC	S&C 2000
Arnica cordifolia Hook.	heartleaf arnica	N	SR	S&C 2000
Artemisia ludoviciana Nutt.	white sagebrush	N	SRCB	Kershaw <i>et al.</i> 1998
Astragalus L. spp.	milkvetch	N/I	SRCB	S&C 2000
Besseya rubra (Douglas ex Hook.) Rydb.	red besseya	Ν	SR	Kershaw et al. 1998
Brassica L. spp.	mustard	1	OC	Kershaw et al. 1998
Calochortus apiculatus Baker	pointedtip mariposa lily	Ν	SRCB	Kershaw et al. 1998
Capsella bursa-pastoris (L.) Medık.	shepherd's purse	I	OC	Kershaw et al. 1998
Chamerion angustifolium (L.) Holub	fireweed	Ν	OC	S&C 2000
Circaea alpina L.	small enchanter's nightshade	Ν	NS	Kershaw et al. 1998
Cirsium Mill. spp.	thistle	N/I	OC	S&C 2000
Cirsium arvense (L.) Scop.	Canada thistle	Ι	OC	S&C 2000
Cirsium vulgare (Savi) Ten.	bull thistle	Ι	OC	S&C 2000
Clarkia pulchella Pursh	pinkfairies	Ν	OC	Kershaw et al. 1998
Claytonia perfoliata Donn ex Willd.	miner's lettuce	Ν	SRCB	Kershaw et al. 1998
Collinsia grandiflora Lindl.	giant blue eyed Mary	Ν	RC	S&C 2000

¹FEIS = Fire Effects Information System (http://www.feis-crs.org/beta/), accessed 7 July 2014; S&C 2000 = Stickney and Campbell 2000; USDA Plants = USDA Plants Database (http://plants.usda.gov), accessed 12 May 2015; Burke Museum = University of Washington Burke Museum (http://www.burkemuseum.org/herbarium), accessed 9 July 2014; Kershaw *et al.* 1998. **Table 2, continued.** N = native; I = introduced; NS = nonsurvivor; OC = off-site colonizer; SR = survivor rhizome; RC = residual colonizer; SRCB = survivor taproot, caudex, or bulb.

Scientific nameCommon nameStatus StrategySource'Collomia lineoris Nutt.tiny trumpetNRCS&C 2000Corpis elegans Hock.elegant hawksheadNOCKershaw et al. 1998Cryptantha Lehm. ex G. Don spp.cryptanthaeryptanthaNUNKCynoglosum oficinale L.gypsyfloverIRCFEISDephnitum beloar Nutt.Little larkspurNOCKershaw et al. 1998Dodecatheon pulchelum (Ra1) Merr.darkthroat shootingstarNOCKershaw et al. 1998Dodecatheon pulchelum (Ra1) Merr.darkthroat shootingstarNOCKershaw et al. 1998Parda verna L.spring dataIOCKershaw et al. 1998Eriogonum Michx. spp.helonareN/ISRCBKershaw et al. 1998Eriogonum Michx. spp.helonareN/ISRCBS&C 2000Eurybia conspicua (Lindl.) G.L. Nesonwestern showy asterNSRC BS&C 2000Eurybra conspicua (Lindl.) G.L. Nesonwestern showy asterNSR E FEISS&C 2000Fragaria vecsa Lwoodland strawberryNSR E KC 2000SRC 2000Eurybra conspicua (Lindl.) G.L. Nesonwestern rattensake planainNNS E FEISGalum borzea Lnorthern bedstrawNN SR C EISSRC 2000Eurybra conspicua (Lindl.) G.L. Nesonwestern rattensake planainNNS E KC 2000Eurybra conspicua (Lindl.) G.L. Nesonwestern rattensake planainNNS E KC 2000Eurybra c		Regeneration			
Collomia linearis Nutt. tiny trumpet N RC S&C 2000 Corpact canadamis (L.) Conquist Canadamia horseveed N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Cryptontha N UNK Congact canadamia horseveed N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Cynptontha Lubm. ex G. Don spp. cryptantha N UNK Congact canadamia N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Cynptontha Loughellum (Raf.) Merr. gypsyflower I RC FEIS Dedocatiene puichellum (Raf.) Merr. gypsyflower N OC S&C 2000 Draba verna L. spp. willowherb N OC S&C 2000 Erigeron L. spp. willowherb N OC S&C 2000 Erigeron L. spp. fleabane N/I SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Erystron L. spp. buckwheatl N SRCB S&C 2000 Eurybia complicuta (LindL) O.L. Nesom western showy aster N SRCB S&C 2000 Eurybia complicuta (LindL) O.L. Nesom western showy aster N SRCB S&C 2000 Galum rifforum Michx. frggrant bedstraw N NS S&C 2000 Galum rifforum Michx. frggrant bedstraw N SRCB Kershaw et al.	Scientific name	Common name	Statu	s Strateg	y Source ¹
Compact canadensis (L.) Cronquist Canadian barseveed N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Crypt clegans Hook. elegant hawksbeard N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Crypt clegans modificatel L. gypsyflower I RC FEIS Cypt clegans modificatel L. gypsyflower I RC FEIS Deduction Or Nutt Ittle larkspur N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Dodecateon pulchellum (Raf.) Merr. darkthroat shootingstar N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Pridowine L. spring draha I OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Errogionin Micha. spp. fteabane NI OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Errogionin Micha. spp. fteabane NI OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Errogionin Micha. Spp. fteabane NI OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Errogionin Micha. Spp. fteabane NI NI Kershaw et al. 1998 Errogionin Micha. Spp. fteabane NI NI Kershaw et al. 1998 Errogionin Micha. Spp. morthem h	Collomia linearis Nutt.	tiny trumpet	N	RC	S&C 2000
Crepts elegant Nok.Noc.Kershaw et al. 1998Cyptophaltha L.cyptophaltha NUNKCynologiosum officinale L.cyptophaltha NNOCCyptophaltha L.cyptophaltha NNOCCyptophaltha Devillorum Salisb.lesser yellow lady's slipper NNOCDelphinium bicolor Nut.little larkspurNOCKershaw et al. 1998Dadecatheo pulchellum (Raf.) Merr.darkthroat shootingstarNOCKershaw et al. 1998Dadecatheo pulchellum (Raf.) Merr.darkthroat shootingstarNOCS&C 2000Errigeron L. spp.willowherbNOCS&C 2000Errigeron L. spp.buckwheatNISRCBKershaw et al. 1998Errydnoiting grandiforum Purshyellow avalanche-lifyNCCKershaw et al. 1998Erythroiting grandiforum Purshweltern show y asterNSRSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Erythroiting grant vescua Lwoodland strawherryNSRSRCBSec 2000Fraggaria vescua Duglas ex Griseb.clkweedNSRSRCBSec 2000Goodwer an bhorgiphia Raf.western strawar an NSSRFEISGoodwer an bhorgiphia Raf.western atlenake plantainNSRSec 2000Hieracium acquiert Hook, var. albertinum (Farr) G.W.Soculer's woollyweedNNSCBDouglas & G.A. Allenbalked waterleafNSRCBSec 2000Hieracium acquiert Mook, Nutt. ex Tort. & A.GraySRCBSec 2000Sec 2000Hieracium acquiert Mook, Nutt. ex Tort. & A.GraySRCB <td>Convza canadensis (L.) Cronquist</td> <td>Canadian horseweed</td> <td>N</td> <td>OC</td> <td>Kershaw et al. 1998</td>	Convza canadensis (L.) Cronquist	Canadian horseweed	N	OC	Kershaw et al. 1998
Cryptantha N UNK Cynoplosum officinal L. cyrysyflower I RC FFIS Cynoplosum officinal L. cyrysyflower I RC FFIS Dodecatheon putchellum (Rat.) Merr. darkthroat shootingstar N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Dodecatheon putchellum (Rat.) Merr. darkthroat shootingstar N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Epidobium L. spp. fleabane N/I SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Erigeron L. spp. fleabane N/I SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Eryshimor canou florina musch weildine wallflower N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Eryshimorizing (rad.) G.I. Nesom western showy sater N SR SR 2 Sec 2000 Frazera speciau (Lindl.) G. Nesom western showy sater N SR SR 5 Sec 2000 Galum triforum Musch fragrant bedstraw N NS SR 2 Sec 2000 Galum triforum Musch fragrant bedstraw N NS SR 2 Cool Galum triforum Musch fragrant bedstraw N SR 2 Sec 2000 SR 2 Sec 2000 Galum triforum Musch	Crepis elegans Hook.	elegant hawksbeard	N	ÕČ	Kershaw <i>et al.</i> 1998
Cyriopedium opticinal i.The set of the se	Cryptantha Lehm ex G Don spp	cryptantha	N	UNK	
Cycriptedum parviforum Salish. Esser yellow lady's slipper N OC FEIS Dodecatheon pulchellum (Raf.) Merr. Hitle larkspur N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Epidohum L. spp. A Construction of the larkspur N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Epidohum L. spp. Heabane N/I SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Eriogronum Michx. spp. Beabane N/I SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Eriogronum Michx. spp. Beabane N/I SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Eriogronum Michx. spp. Beabane N/I SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Eriogronum Michx. spp. Beabane N/I SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Eriogronum Michx. Spp. Beabane N/I SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Eriogronum Michx. Spp. Sector N SR S&C 2000 Fragaria vesca L Woodland Strawberry N SR FEIS Galium rifforum Pursh Construction of the sector N SR S&C 2000 Geam trifforum Pursh SR S&C 2000 Hackela Opiz spp. A SR S&C 2000 Hackela Opiz spp. Sector N SR S&C 2000 N SR S&C 2000 Hackela Opiz spp. Sector N SR S&C 2000 N SR S&C 2000 Hackela Opiz spp. Sector N SR S&C 2000 N SR S&C 2000 Hackela Opiz spp. Sector N SR S&C 2000 N SR S&C 2000 Hackela Opiz spp. Sector N SR S&C 2000 N SR S&C 2000 Hackela Opiz spp. Sector N SR S&C 2000 N SR S&C 2000 Hackela Opiz spp. Sector N SR S&C 2000 N SR S&C 2000 Hackela Sector N SR S&C 2000 Sector N SR S&C 2000 Hackela Sector N SR S&C 2000 Sector N SR S&C 2000 Hackela Sector N SR S&C 2000 Hadia Moling spp. Harweed N SR S&C 2000 Hadia Moling spp. Harweed N SR S&C 2000 Hackela Sector N SR S&C	Cynoglossum officinale I	gypsyflower	I	RC	FFIS
Definitium header Nut. Intel arkspur 9 serpes N OC Kershaver et al. 1998. Dedecatheon pulckelium (Raf.) Merr. spring draba N OC Sec 2000. Draba verna L. spring draba N OC Sec 2000. Ergenon I. spp. Heabane N/1 SRCB Kershaver et al. 1998. Ergenon I. spp. Heabane N/1 SRCB Kershaver et al. 1998. Ergenon I. spp. Heabane N/1 SRCB Kershaver et al. 1998. Ergenon I. spp. buckwheat N SRCB Kershaver et al. 1998. Ergenon I. spp. buckwheat N SRCB Kershaver et al. 1998. Ergenon I. spp. buckwheat N SRCB Kershaver et al. 1998. Ergenon I. spp. buckwheat N SRCB Kershaver et al. 1998. Ergenon I. spp. sanddune valifister N SRCB Sec 2000. Ergenon I. spp. sanddune valifister N SR FEIS Galium brozer aborgioliu Kat. northern bedstraw N SS Sec 2000. Gedium rifforum Push old mar's whiskers <td>Cynrinedium narviflorum Salish</td> <td>lesser vellow lady's slipper</td> <td>N</td> <td>OC</td> <td>FFIS</td>	Cynrinedium narviflorum Salish	lesser vellow lady's slipper	N	OC	FFIS
Dependention for public fulling (Raf.) Merr. Initial mission Initial mission Initial mission Dedecation public fulling (Raf.) Merr. spring data shootingstar IN OC K& 2000 (a) 1.998 Drabo verant L. spring data shootingstar NO OC K& 2000 (a) 1.998 Ergeronn I. spp. the loowherb NI SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Ergeronn I. spp. the loowherb NI SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Ergeronn I. spp. the loowherb NI SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Ergeronn I. spp. seldewad valanche-tily NI SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Ergerint vescar Douglas es Griseb. velwwed valanche-tily NIS SKC 2000 Fragerint vescar Douglas es Griseb. celwwed NIS SKC 2000 Gelium bording/folk af. vestern shows as the plantain NIS SKC 2000 Gelium bording/folk af. vestern shows as the plantain NIS SKC 2000 Hercician abig/mm Pursh odd mail 's whiskers NIS SKC B Kershaw et al. 1998 Hercician abig/mm Pursh scouler 's woollyweed NIS SKC 2000 SKC B <t< td=""><td>Delphinium bicolor Nutt</td><td>little larkspur</td><td>N</td><td>00</td><td>Kershaw at al 1008</td></t<>	Delphinium bicolor Nutt	little larkspur	N	00	Kershaw at al 1008
Dordential (MRT) MCLLatikutiva shoohigsaalNOCKershaw et al. 1998Prido verta Lspring draba1OCKershaw et al. 1998Eriogonam Michx, spp.fleabaneN/1SRCBKershaw et al. 1998Eriogonam Michx, spp.fleabaneN/1SRCBKershaw et al. 1998Erystinum capitatiam (Douglas ex Hook.) Greenesanddune wallfowerNOCKershaw et al. 1998Erystinum capitatiam (Douglas ex Hook.) Greenesanddune wallfowerNOCKershaw et al. 1998Erystinum capitatiam (Douglas ex Griseb.elkweedNSRFEISFrasera speciazo Douglas ex Griseb.elkweedNSRFEISGailum rifforum Purshold mai s whiskersNSRKershaw et al. 1998Goodvera obiorgifolia Raf.western rattenake plantainNSS&C 2000Heichera L. spp.alumrootNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Hieracium activatim Douglas ex Hook. Var. albertinum (Farr) G.W.Scouler's woollyweedNCCS&C 2000Duglas & G.A. Allenprickly lettuceIOCS&C 2000S&C 2000Hiteracium activatim Douglas ex Hook. J Greenestraambak wild hollyhockNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Linaca serriola L.coxeye daisyISRCKScouler's woollyweedNCCLinaca serriola L.coxeye daisyISRKershaw et al. 1998SRCBKershaw et al. 1998Linaca serriola L.coxeye daisyISRKershaw et	Delphinium bicolor Nuti.	darkthroat shootingstar	N	00	S&C 2000
Drub verrat L. spring undata 1 O.C. Kershaw et al. 1998 Errigeron I. spp. willowlerb N.T. SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Errigonum Michx, spp. blockwheat N.S. SRCD Kershaw et al. 1998 Errystnoim capitatum (Douglas ex Hook) Greene sanddume wallflower N.S. SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Errystnoim grandifforum Pursh yellow avalanche-lijy SRCB S&C 2000 Kershaw et al. 1998 Fraggrai vesca L. woodland strawberry N.S. SRC Ells Galum breadel L. Fills Galum breadel L. northern bedstraw N.S. S.KC 2000 SkC 2000 Geaun trijforum Michs. fragrant bedstraw N.S. S.KC 2000 Ideackia Opix spp. stickseed N.S. S.KC 2000 Heracium altiforum Hook white hawkwed N.S. S.KC 2000 Hieracium altiforum Nogar Lam. ballhead wate	Duecaineon paicheitam (Kal.) Mell.	spring draba	IN		Korshow at al. 1009
ImplementationProductionNSRCKershaw et al.198EriogrontSRCBKershaw et al.198EriogrontSRCBKershaw et al.198ErystinumGregnaSRCBKershaw et al.198ErystinumGregnaSRCBKershaw et al.198ErystinumGregnaSRCBKershaw et al.198ErystinumGregnaSRCBKershaw et al.198ErystinumGregnaSRCBS&C2000Fragera specioaDouglas ex Griseb.elkweedNSRFEISGalum rifforum PurshGialum rifforum PurshNSS&C2000Geam trifforum Purshold mar's whiskersNSRFEISGodyera obingifolia Raf.western rattenake plantainNSS&C2000Heichera L. spp.alumrootNSRCBKershaw et al.198Hieracum sculer Hook, var. albertinum (Farr) G.W.Scouler's woollyweedNOCS&C2000Jydrophyllum capitatium Douglas ex Benth.ballhead waterleafNSRCBKershaw et al.198Hiama rivularis (Douglas ex Hook) Greenestreambak wild hollyhockNSRCBS&C 2000Lithophrigma parifforumHook) Nutt. ex Torr. & A.SmallflowerNSRCBS&C 2000Lithophrigma parifforumKershaw et al.198SRCBS&C 2000S&C 2000Lithophrigma parifforum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A.SmallflowerNSRCBS&C 2000<	Druba verna L.	willowhark	1 N	00	S&C 2000
Drigeron L. Spl.IncludingNR SRC BKershaw et al. 1998Ervigonum Michx. spp.buckwheatNSRC BKershaw et al. 1998Eryikronium gradifforum Purshyellow avalanche-lityNSRC BKershaw et al. 1998Eurybia conspicua (Lindl.) G.L. Nesomwestern showy asterNSR C BS& C 2000Eurybia conspicua (Lindl.) G.L. Nesomwestern showy asterNSR C BS& C 2000Fragaria vesca Lwoodland strawberryNSR FEISGalium boreade L.northern bedstrawNNSS& C 2000Geam trifforum Michx.fragrant bedstrawNNSS& C 2000Godyera oblongifola Raf.western rattlesnake plantainNNSS& C 2000Herchera L. spp.alumrootNSRC BKershaw et al. 1998Heraccium albiforum Hookwhite hawkweedNSR C EKershaw et al. 1998Heraccium albiforum Hookwhite hawkweedNSR C ESec 2000Hydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Hook.) Greenestraembank wild hollyhoerNSR C ESec 2000Itiman arivularis (Douglas ex Hook.) GreenetwinflowerNSR C Kershaw et al. 1998Lattica serviola L.prickly lettuceNSR C Kershaw et al. 1998Lumaeu borealis L.prickly lettuceNSR C Kershaw et al. 1998Lumaeu borealis L.prickly lettuceNSR C Sec 2000Lumaeu borealis L.prickly lettuceNSR C Kershaw et al. 1998Lumaeu borealis L.prickly lett	Epitoolum L. spp.	flashona	IN N/I	SPCD	Sac 2000 Vershow et al 1009
Errogonium Michx. spp.DuckWneatNSRC. BKershaw et al. 1998Eryshimu. Groupta et al. 1998Eryshimu. Groupta et al. 1998Sket 2000Kershaw et al. 1998Eryshimu. Groupta et al. Laboration for the strain and the strain and the strain and the strain and strain and the strain and s	Erigeron L. spp.	headane	IN/I	SKCB	Kersnaw <i>et al.</i> 1998
Drysnium capitatum (Douglas ex Hook.) Greene sänddune wällflower N SRC 2000 Eurybnia conspicua (Lindl.) G.L. Nesom western showy aster N SR & S&C 2000 Eurybnia conspicua (Lindl.) G.L. Nesom western showy aster N SR & S&C 2000 Fragaria vesca L. woodland strawberry N SR & FEIS Galium boreade L. northern bedstraw N SR & Z000 Galium boreade L. northern bedstraw N SR & Z000 Hackelia Opiz spp. sitekseed N SR CE Kershaw et al. 1998 Heichera L. spp. sitekseed N SR CE Kershaw et al. 1998 Heichera L. spp. sitekseed N SR CE Kershaw et al. 1998 Heichera L. spp. sitekseed N SR CE Kershaw et al. 1998 Hieractum sculer Hook. var. albertinum (Farr) G.W. Scouler's woollyweed N OC S&C 2000 Hydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Benth. ballhead waterleaf N SR CE Kershaw et al. 1998 I.actuca serriola L. prickly lettuce I OC S&C 2000 S&C 2000 Linnohae parvifforum (Hook.) Nutt. ex To	Eriogonum Michx. spp.	buckwheat	IN N	SKCB	Kershaw <i>et al.</i> 1998
Derythronium grandijorum Purshyeliow avalanche-iliyNSRC BSRC 2000Fragerar jecciosa Douglas ex Griseb.elkweedNSRFEISGalium trifforum Michx.fragrant bedstrawNSRFEISGalium trifforum Nichx.fragrant bedstrawNNSS&C 2000Geun trifforum Nichx.fragrant bedstrawNNSS&C 2000Geun trifforum Purshold mar's whiskersNSRS&C 2000Goodvera obiogifolia Raf.western articlesnake plantainNSS&C 2000Hackera L. spp.stickseedNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Hieracium souleri Hook xet. albertinum (Farr) G.W.Scouler's woollyweedNCS&C 2000Pydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Hook.) Greenestreambank wild hollyhockNRCS&C 2000Histocinias ourderi Hook xet. albertinum (Farr) G.W.Scouler's woollyweedNSC S&C 2000Linnace horealis L.prickly lettueeIOCS&C 2000Linnace horealis L.prickly lettueeIOCS&C 2000Linnace horealis L.prickly lettueeIOCS&C 2000Linnace horealis L.prickly lettueeNNSS&C 2000Lonatium dissectum (Nut.) Mathias & Constanceferileal biscuitrootNRCS&C 2000Linnace horealis L.starry false lify of the valleyNSR S&C 2000S&C 2000Lupinus L. spp.traveedNSR CBS&C 2000SLonatium dissectum (Nut.) Mathias	Erysimum capitatum (Douglas ex Hook.) Greene	sanddune wallflower	N	OC	Kershaw <i>et al.</i> 1998
Euryphia conspicua (Lindl.) G.L. Nesom western showy aster N SR S&C 2000 Fragaria vesca L. woodland strawberry N SR FEIS Galium boreale L. northern bedstraw N NS SEC 2000 Geum triflorum Michx. fragrant bedstraw N NS SEC 2000 Geum triflorum Mursh old man's whiskers NSR FEIS Godyera oblongfolia Raf. western rattlesnake plantain NS S&C 2000 Heackela Opiz spp. stickseed NSR CB Kershaw et al. 1998 Hieractina Sculler Hook, var. albertinum (Farr) G.W. Sculler's woollyweed N OC S&C 2000 Hydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Benth. ballhead waterleaf N SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Hieractina Sculler Hook, var. albertinum (Farr) G.W. Sculler's woollyweed N OC S&C 2000 Hydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Hock.) Greene streambank wild hollyhock N RK Kershaw et al. 1998 Linnaea borealis L. prickly lettuce I OC S&C 2000 Linnaea borealis L. prickly lettuce N N SR Linnapa parvifforum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Tort. A. Gray Smillfower woodland-star N Matai Molina spp. starvef alse lily of the vall	Erythronium grandiflorum Pursh	yellow avalanche-lily	N	SRCB	S&C 2000
Fragera yesca L.wooddand strawberryNSRFEISGalium trifforum Michx.fragrant bedstrawNNSFEISGalium trifforum Michx.fragrant bedstrawNNSS&C 2000Geum trifforum Purshold man's whiskersNNSS&C 2000Hackelia Opiz spp.stickseedNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Henchera L. spp.alumrootNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Henchera L. spp.alumrootNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Henchera L. spp.alumrootNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Hieracium albiforum Hookwhite hawkweedNCCS&C 2000Hydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Benth.ballhead waterleafNSRKershaw et al. 1998Jianna rivularis (Douglas ex Hook.) Greenestreambank wild hollyhockNRCS&C 2000Izeucanthemum vulgare Lam.prickly letuceIOCS&C 2000Leucanthemum vulgare Lam.twinflowerNNSS&C 2000Lithophragma parviflorum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A. Graysmallflower woodland-starNSRKershaw et al. 1998Lumato and the secture of the solutionNRCKershaw et al. 1998S&C 2000Lutaula campetris (L.) DC.field woodrushIRCS&C 2000Madiathemum stellatum (L.) Linkstarry false lily of the valleyNSRFEISMoehringia lateriffora (L.) Fenzlbluntleaf sandwortNSRSRCBBurke Museum <tr< td=""><td>Eurybia conspicua (Lindl.) G.L. Nesom</td><td>western showy aster</td><td>N</td><td>SR</td><td>S&C 2000</td></tr<>	Eurybia conspicua (Lindl.) G.L. Nesom	western showy aster	N	SR	S&C 2000
Frasera speciosa Douglas ex Griseb. elkweed N SR FEIS Galium breade L. northern bedstraw N NS FEIS Galium breade L. fragrant bedstraw N NS FEIS Godium triflorum Michx. fragrant bedstraw N NS S&C 2000 Hackelia Opiz spp. stickseed N SR CB Kershaw et al. 1998 Hieractium albiforum Hook white hawkweed N SR CB Kershaw et al. 1998 Hieractium Sculleri Hook var. albertinum (Farr) G.W. Scouler's woollyweed N OC S&C 2000 Hydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Benth. ballhead waterleaf N SR K Kershaw et al. 1998 Hiama rivularis (Douglas ex Hook.) Greene streambank wild hollyhock N S &C 2000 Leucanthemum vulgare Lam. oxeye daisy I S &C 2000 Leunachberadis L. prickly lettuce I OC S &C 2000 Luthophragma parvilforum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. &A. Gray S mallflower N S &C 2000 Lutinua campestris (L.) DC. field woodrush N S &C 2000 Kershaw et al. 1998 <	Fragaria vesca L.	woodland strawberry	Ν	SR	FEIS
Galium ibrorade L. northern bedstraw N NS FEIS Galium iriflorum Wish. fragrant bedstraw N NS S&C 2000 Geutur triflorum Pursh. old man's whiskers N SR FEIS Goodyera oblongifolia Raf. western rattlesnake plantain N SS SC 2000 Hackelia Opix spp. stickseed N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Hieractium abifforum Hook white hawkweed N RC FEIS Juouglas & G. A. Allen ballhead waterleaf N SR CB Kershaw et al. 1998 Ilianna rivularis (Douglas ex Benth. ballhead waterleaf N SR CB Kershaw et al. 1998 Ilianna rivularis (Douglas ex Hook.) Greene straambank wild hollyhock N RC S&C 2000 Lactuca serviola L. prickly lettuce I OC S &C 2000 Luinace abrorealis L. twinflower N SR Museum Lumate burgestris (L.) DC. field woodrush I RC S&C 2000 Mainthemum stellatum (L.) Link starry false lily of the valley N SR EIS Mitella breveri A. Gray Brewer's miterwort N<	Frasera speciosa Douglas ex Griseb.	elkweed	Ν	SR	FEIS
Galium triflorum Michx. fragrant bedstraw N NS S&C 2000 Geaut riflorum Pursh old man's whiskers N SR FEIS Goodyera oblongifolia Raf. western rattlesnake plantain N NS S&C 2000 Hackelia Opiz spp. alumroot N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Hieractum abhiforum Hook white hawkweed N CC S&C 2000 Hydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Benth. ballhead waterleaf N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Hiama rivularis (Douglas ex Hook.) Greene streambank wild hollyhock N SRCB S&C 2000 Linnaea borealis L. prickly lettuce I OC S&C 2000 Leucanthemum vulgare Lam. oxyy Mountain iris N SRCB Sech 2000 Linnaea borealis L. prickly lettuce I OC S&C 2000 Linnaea borealis L. prickly lettuce N SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Lomatum dissectum (Nut.) Mathias & Constance Impine N SRC S&C 2000 Lupinus L. Sp. Lomatum orgen sarviflorum (L.) Link starry false lily of the valley N	Galium boreale L.	northern bedstraw	Ν	NS	FEIS
Geum triflorum Pursh old man's whiskers N SR FEIS Goodyera oblongifolia Raf. western rattlesnake plantain N NS S&C 2000 Hackelia Opiz spp. alumroot N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Heuchera L. spp. alumroot N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Hieractim dibiforum Hook white hawkweed N RC FEIS Duglas & G.A. Allen ballhead waterleaf N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Liamna crivularis (Douglas ex Benth. ballhead waterleaf N SRC 2000 S&C 2000 Iris missouriensis Nutt. Rocky Mountain iris N SRC Kershaw et al. 1998 Lactuca serriola L. prickly lettuce I OC S&C 2000 Linnade borealis L. twinflower N SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Linnade averalization (Nutt.) Mathias & Constance Impine N SRC S S&C 2000 Lucuta campestris (L.) DC. field woodrush I RC S&C 2000 Lucuta campestris (L.) DC. field woodrush R RC S&C 2000 <t< td=""><td>Galium triflorum Michx.</td><td>fragrant bedstraw</td><td>Ν</td><td>NS</td><td>S&C 2000</td></t<>	Galium triflorum Michx.	fragrant bedstraw	Ν	NS	S&C 2000
Goodyera oblongifolia Raf. western rattlesnake plantain N NS S&C 2000 Hackelia Opiz spp. stickseed N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Hieractum albiforum Hook white hawkweed N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Hieractum albiforum Hook white hawkweed N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Hieractum sculleri Hook. var. albertinum (Farr) G.W. Scouler's woollyweed N OC S&C 2000 Hydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Benth. ballhead waterleaf N SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Iris missouriensis Nutt. Rocky Mountain risis N SRC & S&C 2000 Leucanthenum vulgare Lam. oxeye daisy I SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Lancaa borealis L. prickly lettuce I OC S&C 2000 Luthophragma parvillorum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A. Gray Gray Imae borealis L. SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Lomatum dissectum (Nutt.) Mathias & Constance Ferleaf biscuitroot N RC Kershaw et al. 1998 Lupinus L. spp. tarweed N OC S&C 2000 Lucula campestris (L.) DC. field woodrush RC S&C 2000	Geum triflorum Pursh	old man's whiskers	Ν	SR	FEIS
Hackelia Opiz spp. stickseed N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Heuchera L. spp. alumroot N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Hieracium albiforum Hook white hawkweed N RC FEIS Douglas & G.A. Allen Scouler's woollyweed N OC S&C 2000 Hydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Benth. ballhead waterleaf N SRC Kershaw et al. 1998 Lianna crivularis (Douglas ex Hook.) Greene streambank wild hollyhock N RC S&C 2000 Izianna crivularis (Douglas ex Hook.) Greene streambank wild hollyhock N RC Kershaw et al. 1998 Lactuca serriola L. prickly lettuce I OC S&C 2000 Lithophragma parvifforum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A. smallflower woodland-star N SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Lupinus L. spp. tarweed N SR C S&C 2000 Lucula campestris (L.) DC. field woodrush I RC S&C 2000 Matianthemum stellatum (L.) Link starry false lily of the valley N SR FEIS Matianthewum stellatus tauropetala Piper smallflower miterwort N SRC B SRC B SRC B <td>Goodyera oblongifolia Raf.</td> <td>western rattlesnake plantain</td> <td>Ν</td> <td>NS</td> <td>S&C 2000</td>	Goodyera oblongifolia Raf.	western rattlesnake plantain	Ν	NS	S&C 2000
Heuchera L, spp. N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Hieracium albiforum Hook white hawkweed N RC FEIS Douglas & G.A. Allen Scouler's woollyweed N OC S&C 2000 Hydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Benth. ballhead waterleaf N SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Inama rivularis (Douglas ex Hook.) Greene streambank wild hollyhock N RC S&C 2000 Iris missouriensis Nutt. Rocky Mountain iris N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Lactuca serriola L. prickly lettuce I OC S&C 2000 Leucanthemum vulgare Lam. oxeye daisy I SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Linnace borealts L. twinflower N NS S&C 2000 Lithophragma parviflorum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A. gray smallflower woodland-star N SR Museum Lowatium dissectum (Nutt.) Mathias & Constance fernleaf biscuitroot N RCC Kershaw et al. 1998 Lucula campestris (L.) DC. field woodrush I RC Sc 2000 Mitella stauropetala Piper Smallflower miterwort N SR CB <	Hackelia Opiz spp.	stickseed	Ν	SRCB	Kershaw et al. 1998
Hieracium albiforum Hook white hawkweed N RC FEIS Hieracium scouleri Hook, var. albertinum (Farr) G.W. Scouler's woollyweed N OC S&C 2000 Hydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Benth. ballhead waterleaf N SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Ilianna rivularis (Douglas ex Hook.) Greene streambank wild hollyhock N RC S&C 2000 Iris missouriensis Nutt. Rocky Mountain iris N SRC & Kershaw et al. 1998 Lancaa serriola L. prickly lettuce I OC S&C 2000 Leucanthenum vulgare Lam. oxeye daisy I SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Linnaea borealis L. twinflower N NS S&C 2000 Lawind dissectum (Nutt.) Mathias & Constance fernleaf biscuitroot N RC Kershaw et al. 1998 Luptinus L. spp. luptine N SRC B S&C 2000 Matainthemum stellatum (L.) Link starry false lily of the valley N SR FEIS Matain Abina spp. tarweed N SR FEIS Metholina spp. SR FEIS Mitella breweri A. Gray Brewer's miterwort <td< td=""><td>Heuchera L. spp.</td><td>alumroot</td><td>Ν</td><td>SRCB</td><td>Kershaw et al. 1998</td></td<>	Heuchera L. spp.	alumroot	Ν	SRCB	Kershaw et al. 1998
Hieracium scouleri Hook, var. albertinum (Farr) G.W. Douglas & G.A. Allen Scouler's woollyweed N OC S&C 2000 Hydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Benth. ballhead waterleaf N SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Iliama rivularis (Douglas ex Hook.) Greene streambank wild hollyhock N RC S&C 2000 Iris missouriensis <nutt.< td=""> Rocky Mountain iris SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Lactuca serriola L. prickly lettuce I OC S&C 2000 Leucanthenum vulgare Lam. oxeye daisy I SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Linnaea borealis L. twinflower N NS S&C 2000 Lithophragma parvifforum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A. millower woodland-star N SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Loual campestris (L.) DC. field woodrush I RC S&C 2000 Madia Molina spp. S&C 2000 Mitella breweri A. Gray Brewer's miterwort N SR FEIS Mitella stauropetala Piper Smallflower miterwort SR SRCB S&C 2000 Mitella tretrifora (L.) Fenzl bluntleaf sandwort N SRCB Burke Museum Nothocalis trox</nutt.<>	Hieracium albiflorum Hook	white hawkweed	Ν	RC	FEIS
Douglas & G.A. AllenDescriptionHydrophyllum capitatium Douglas ex Benth.ballhead waterleafNSRHydrophyllum capitatium Douglas ex Hook.) Greenestreambank wild hollyhockNRCPris missouriensis Nutt.Rocky Mountain irisNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Lactuca serriola L.prickly lettuceIOCS&C 2000Leucanthemum vulgare Lam.oxeye daisyISRKershaw et al. 1998Linnaea borealis L.twinflowerNNSS&C 2000Lithophragma parviflorum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A.smallflower woodland-starNSRMuseumComatium dissectum (Nutt.) Mathias & Constancefernleaf biscuitrootNRCKershaw et al. 1998Luzula campestris (L.) DC.field woodrushIRCS&C 2000Matianthemum stellatum (L.) Linkstarry false lily of the valleyNSRFEISMitella breveri A. GrayBrewer's miterwortNSRFEISMoterling la teriffora (L.) Fenzlblunteaf sandwortNSRCBSucc 2000Mitella breviffora A. Graybasin nemophilaNSRCBBurke MuseumOenothera villosa Thunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBSucc 2000Olsynium douglasi (A. Dietr.) E.P. BicknellDouglas' grasswidowNUNKBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNSRCBSucc 2000Packera Å. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBSucc 2000Packera	Hieracium scouleri Hook. var. albertinum (Farr) G.W.	Scouler's woollyweed	N	OC	S&C 2000
Ilianna rivularis (Douglas ex Hook.) Greene streambank wild hollyhock N RC S&C 2000 Iris missouriensis Nutt. Rocky Mountain iris N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Lactuca serriola L. prickly lettuce I OC S&C 2000 Leucanthemum vulgare Lam. oxeye daisy I SR Kershaw et al. 1998 Lithophragma parviflorum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A. smallflower woodland-star N SR Museum Lomatium dissectum (Nutt.) Mathias & Constance fernleaf biscuitroot N RC Kershaw et al. 1998 Luzula campestris (L.) DC. field woodrush I RC S&C 2000 Matianthemum stellatum (L.) Link starry false lily of the valley N SR FEIS Mitella brevifora (L.) Fenzl bluntieaf sandwort N SR FEIS Moenning a lateriflora (L.) Fenzl bluntieaf sandwort N SRCB Burke Museum Oenothera villosa Thunb. harry evening primrose N SRCB Burke Museum Olonglas is travinoides (A. Gray) gasebrush false dandelion SRCB Burke Museum Olonglas is travinoides (A. Gray)	Hydrophyllum capitatum Douglas ex Benth.	ballhead waterleaf	Ν	SR	Kershaw et al. 1998
Iris missouriensis Nutt.Rocky Mountain irisNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Lactuca serriola L.prickly lettuceIOCS&C 2000Leucanthemum vulgare Lam.oxeye daisyISRKershaw et al. 1998Linnaea borealis L.twinflowerNNSS&C 2000Lithophragma parviforum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A.smallflower woodland-starNSRMuseumComatium dissectum (Nutt.) Mathias & Constancefernleaf biscuitrootNRCKershaw et al. 1998Luzula campestris (L.) DC.field woodrushIRCS&C 2000Madia Molina spp.Mitella breweri A. GrayBrewer's miterwortNSR CBS&C 2000Mitella stauropetala Pipersmallflower miterwortNSR CBS&C 2000Mitella stauropetala Pipersmallflower miterwortNSR CBS&C 2000Mitella stauropetala Pipersmallflower miterwortNSR CBBurke MuseumNochocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNSR CBBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNSR CBBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNSR CBS&C 2000Oksminita berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSR CBBurke MuseumPackera X. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSR CBBurke MuseumPeateming algandistis Dougla	<i>lliamna rivularis</i> (Douglas ex Hook) Greene	streambank wild hollyhock	N	RC	S&C 2000
The initial of the i	Iris missouriensis Nutt	Rocky Mountain iris	N	SRCB	Kershaw <i>et al</i> 1998
Leucanthemum vulgare Lam.pricesPricesPricesLeucanthemum vulgare Lam.oxeye daisyISRKershaw et al. 1998Linhophragma parviforum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A.smallflower woodland-starNSRMuseumGraysmallflower woodland-starNSRMuseumLuzula campestris (L.) DC.field woodrushIRCS&C 2000Madia Molina spp.tarweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Matia Molina spp.tarweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Mitella breweri A. GrayBrewer's miterwortNSRC BS&C 2000Mitella breweri A. GrayBrewer's miterwortNSRFEISMoehringia lateriftora (L.) Fenzlbluntleaf sadwortNSRBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Osorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Packera streptanthifolia (Greene) W.A. Weber & A. Löve Rocky Mountain groundselNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemo Schmidel spp.publeardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemo Schmidel spp.publeardtongueNSRCBScc 2000Packera stre	Lactuca serriola L	prickly lettuce	I	OC	S&C 2000
DescentionDiscretionNote PainLinnace bornel is L.twinflowerNNNS&C 2000Lithophragma parvifforum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A. Graysmallflower woodland-starNSRMuseumLomatium dissectum (Nutt.) Mathias & Constancefernleaf biscuitrootNRCKershaw et al. 1998Luzula campestris (L.) DC.field woodrushIRCS&C 2000Luzula campestris (L.) DC.field woodrushIRCS&C 2000Matianthemum stellatum (L.) Linkstarry false lily of the valleyNSRFEISMitella breweri A. GrayBrewer's miterwortNSRC BS&C 2000Mitella stauropetala Pipersmallflower miterwortNSR CBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray)basin nemophilaNSRCBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray)Graysagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumOenothera villosa Thunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBS&C 2000SweeticelyOrnhitin secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Osmerhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBS&C 2000Packera Å. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRSRCBPenstemon glandulosus Douglasstickystem penstemonNSRCBSwC2 2000Packera Streptanthifolia (Greene)W.A. Weber & Á. Löve Rocky Mountain groundselNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon glandulosus Douglasstickyste	Leucanthemum vulgare I am	oxeve daisy	T	SR	Kershaw et al. 1998
Initiate borderNoNoSRCSocial 2000Lithophragma parviflorum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A. Graysmallflower woodland-starNSRMuseumLomatium dissectum (Nutt.) Mathias & Constancefernleaf biscuitrootNRCKershaw et al. 1998Lupinus L. spp.DC.field woodrushIRCS&C 2000Luziula campestris (L.) DC.field woodrushIRCS&C 2000Madia Molina spp.tarweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Mitella breweri A. GrayBrewer's miterwortNSRC BS&C 2000Mitella stauropetala Pipersmallflower miterwortNSRFEISMoehringia lateriflora (L.) Fenzlblumtleaf sandwortNSRC BBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesidebells wintergreenNSRC BBurke MuseumOlsynium douglasii (A. Dietr.) E.P. BicknellDouglas' grasswidowUNKBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNSRC BS&C 2000Packera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPeatemon Schmidel spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPeatemon Schmidel spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPeatemon Schmidel spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPeatemon Schmidel spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke	Linnapa horpalis I	twinflower	N	NS	S&C 2000
GrayInstitution of the order to the stateInstitutionLomatium dissectum (Nutt.) Mathias & Constancefernleaf biscuitrootNRCKershaw et al. 1998Lupinus L. spp.DC.field woodrushIRCS&C 2000Madia Molina spp.tarweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Madia Molina spp.tarweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Mitella breweri A. GrayBrewer's miterwortNSRC ES&C 2000Mitella stauropetala Pipersmallflower miterwortNSRC ES&C 2000Mitella brewiflora (L.) Fenzlbluntleaf sandwortNSR EEISMoehringia lateriflora (L.) FenzlNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray)basin nemophilaNSRCBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumOenothera villosa Thunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSC S&C 2000S&C 2000Packera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBS&C 2000Packera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Penstemon Schmidel spp.creatic strigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootN </td <td>Lithophragma parviflorum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A.</td> <td>smallflower woodland-star</td> <td>N</td> <td>SR</td> <td>Museum</td>	Lithophragma parviflorum (Hook.) Nutt. ex Torr. & A.	smallflower woodland-star	N	SR	Museum
Dominant ReservedInitial operationNSRCBS&C 2000Luziula campestris (L.) DC.field woodrushIRCS&C 2000Madia Molina spp.tarweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Maianthemum stellatum (L.) Linkstarry false lily of the valleyNSR FEISMitella breweri A. GrayBrewer's miterwortNSR CBS&C 2000Mitella stauropetala Pipersmallflower miterwortNSR FEISMoehringia lateriflora (L.) Fenzlbluntleaf sandwortNSR KCBSwc 2000Mothocalais troximoides (A. Gray)greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumOenothera villosa Thunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Olsynium douglasii (A. Dietr.) E.P. BicknellDouglas' grasswidowNUNKBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNSRCBS&C 2000Osmorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBS&C 2000Packera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPedicularis L. spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenst	Gray Lomatium dissectum (Nutt.) Mathias & Constance	fernleaf biscuitroot	N	RC	Kershaw <i>et al.</i> 1998
InplieInplieInstructSRCBS&C 2000Itzula campestris (L.) DC.field woodrushIRCS&C 2000Maianthemum stellatum (L.) Linkstarry false lily of the valleyNSRFEISMitella breweri A. GrayBrewer's miterwortNSRCBS&C 2000Mitella stauropetala Pipersmallflower miterwortNSRFEISMoehringia lateriflora (L.) Fenzlbluntleaf sandwortNSRCBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray)basin nemophilaNSRCBBurke MuseumOenothera villosa Thunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Osmorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBS&C 2000Packera Å. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPeakera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPeatemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon glandulosus Douglasstickystem penstemonNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon glandulosus Douglasstickystem penstemonNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantain	Lupinus I spp	lunine	N	SRCB	S&C 2000
Inclu wouldsinIICSec 2000Madia Molina sp.tarweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Maianthemum stellatum (L.) Linkstarry false lily of the valleyNSRFEISMitella breweri A. GrayBrewer's miterwortNSRCBS&C 2000Mitella stauropetala Pipersmallflower miterwortNSRFEISMoehringia lateriffora (L.) Fenzlblumtleaf sandwortNSRBurke MuseumNemophila breviflora A. Graybasin nemophilaNSRCBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumOenothera villosa Thunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Olsynium douglasii (A. Dietr.) E.P. BicknellDouglas' grasswidowNUNKBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNSRCBS&C 2000Packera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPedicularis L. spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1999Penstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1999Penstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1999Penstemon Schmidel spp.phaceliaNSRCBS&C 2000Phacelia Juss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf pla	Lupinus L. spp.	field woodruch	I	PC	S&C 2000
Maianthomin spl.IarweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Maianthomium stellatum (L.) Linkstarry false lily of the valleyNSRFEISMitella breweri A. GrayBrewer's miterwortNSRFEISMoehringia lateriflora (L.) Fenzlbluntleaf sandwortNSRBurke MuseumNemophila breviflora A. Graybasin nemophilaNSRCBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumOenothera villosa Thunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Orthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Osmorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBSwcC 2000Packera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPeakera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon glandulosus Douglasstickystem penstemonNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 1998Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBS&C 2000 <t< td=""><td>Madia Molino spp</td><td>tarwood</td><td>N</td><td>NC OC</td><td>Korshow at al. 1002</td></t<>	Madia Molino spp	tarwood	N	NC OC	Korshow at al. 1002
Midella breweri A. GrayBrewer's miterwortNSRCBS&C 2000Mitella stauropetala Pipersmallflower miterwortNSRCBS&C 2000Mitella stauropetala Pipersmallflower miterwortNSRCBS&C 2000Moehringia lateriflora (L.) Fenzlbluntleaf sandwortNSRCBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumOenothera villosa Thunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Olsynium douglasii (A. Dietr.) E.P. BicknellDouglas' grasswidowNUNKBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Osmorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBS&C 2000Packera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPeackera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 1998Plantago lanceolata L.narctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 1998Plantago lanceolata L.narctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 1998Plantago major L.common plantainIOCKershaw et al. 1998Polentilla L. spp.common plantainI	Maianthomum stollatum (L.) Link	stormy folso lily of the yellow	N	SP	EEIS
Mitela brewert A. GrayDiewert SimilerwortNSRCBS&C 2000Mitella stauropetala Pipersmallflower miterwortNSRFEISMoehringia lateriftora (L.) Fenzlbluntleaf sandwortNSRUSDA PlantsNemophila breviftora A. Graybasin nemophilaNSRCBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumOenothera villosa Thunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Olsynium douglasii (A. Dietr.) E.P. BicknellDouglas' grasswidowNUNKBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNSRCBS&C 2000Osmorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBS&C 2000Packera Å. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPedicularis L. spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 1998Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBSRCBBurke MuseumPlantago lanceolata L.common plantainIOCKershaw et al. 1998Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladde	Mataninemum Stellarum (L.) LIIIK	Brower's miterwort	IN N	SR	FEIS S&C 2000
Mitella stauropetala PiperSmalliower miterwortNSRFEISMoehringia lateriflora (L.) Fenzlbluntleaf sandwortNSRUSDA PlantsNemophila breviflora A. Graybasin nemophilaNSRCBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumOenothera villosa Thunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Olsynium douglasii (A. Dietr.) E.P. BicknellDouglas' grasswidowNUNKBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Osmorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBS&C 2000Packera Å. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPedicularis L. spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 2000Phantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBS&C 2000Plantago major L.common plantainIOCKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBS&C 2000Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBS&C 2000Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBKershaw et al. 1998 <td>Milella Drewerl A. Glay</td> <td>blewer s miter wort</td> <td>IN NI</td> <td>SKUD</td> <td>5&C 2000</td>	Milella Drewerl A. Glay	blewer s miter wort	IN NI	SKUD	5&C 2000
Moenringia laterytora (L.) FenziDituttear sandwortNSRUSDA PlantsNemophila breviflora A. Graybasin nemophilaNSRCBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumOenothera villosa Thunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Olsynium douglasii (A. Dietr.) E.P. BicknellDouglas' grasswidowNUNKBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Osmorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBS&C 2000Packera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPedicularis L. spp.nawortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 1998Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBS&C 2000Plantago major L.common plantainIOCKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBS&C 2000Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilNSRCBS&C 2000Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilNSRCBS&C 2000Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilNSRCBS&C 2000Potentilla L. spp.cinquefo	Milella stauropetala Piper	smallilower miterwort	IN	SK	FEIS
NemophilaNSRCBBurke MuseumNothocalais troximoides (A. Gray)Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumOenothera villosa Thunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Olsynium douglasii (A. Dietr.) E.P. BicknellDouglas' grasswidowNUNKBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.)Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Osmorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBS&C 2000Packera Á. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPedicularis L. spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon glandulosus Douglasstickystem penstemonNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998PhaceliaJuss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBKershaw et al. 2000Phacelia Juss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBKershaw et al. 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBS&C 2000Plantago major L.common plantainIOCKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBBurke MuseumPotentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBBurke Museum	Moenringia laterifiora (L.) Fenzi	bluntleaf sandwort	IN N	SK	USDA Plants
Nothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greenesagebrush false dandelionNSRCBBurke MuseumOenothera villosa Thunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Olsynium douglasii (A. Dietr.) E.P. BicknellDouglas' grasswidowNUNKBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Osmorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBS&C 2000Packera Å. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRKershaw et al. 1998Packera streptanthifolia (Greene) W.A. Weber & A. Löve Rocky Mountain groundselNSRCBBurke MuseumPedicularis L. spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 2000Phacelia Juss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.jacob's-ladderNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago major L.common plantainIOCKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.jacob's-ladderNSCRBBurke MuseumPotentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRB <td>Nemophila breviflora A. Gray</td> <td>basin nemophila</td> <td>N</td> <td>SKCB</td> <td>Burke Museum</td>	Nemophila breviflora A. Gray	basin nemophila	N	SKCB	Burke Museum
Oenothera villosa Ihunb.hairy evening primroseNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Olsynium douglasii (A. Dietr.) E.P. BicknellDouglas' grasswidowNUNKBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Osmorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBS&C 2000Packera Å. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRKershaw et al. 1998Packera streptanthifolia (Greene) W.A. Weber & Á. Löve Rocky Mountain groundselNSRCBBurke MuseumPedicularis L. spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 2000Phacelia Juss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBS&C 2000Plantago major L.common plantainIOCKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBBurke Museum	Nothocalais troximoides (A. Gray) Greene	sagebrush false dandelion	N	SKCB	Burke Museum
Olsynium douglasii (A. Dietr.) E.P. BicknellDouglas' grasswidowNUNKBurke MuseumOrthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Osmorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBS&C 2000Packera Á. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRKershaw et al. 1998Packera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRCBBurke MuseumPackera Streptanthifolia (Greene) W.A. Weber & A. Löve Rocky Mountain groundselNSRCBBurke MuseumPedicularis L. spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1999Penstemon glandulosus Douglasstickystem penstemonNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 2000Phacelia Juss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBBurke MuseumPlantago major L.common plantainIOCKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium douglasii GreeneDouglas' knotweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBBurke MuseumPotentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBBurke Museum	Oenothera villosa Thunb.	hairy evening primrose	N	SRCB	Kershaw et al. 1998
Orthilia secunda (L.) Housesidebells wintergreenNNSS&C 2000Osmorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBS&C 2000Packera Á. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRKershaw et al. 1998Packera á. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRBurke MuseumPackera streptanthifolia (Greene) W.A. Weber & A. Löve Rocky Mountain groundselNSRCBBurke MuseumPedicularis L. spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1999Penstemon glandulosus Douglasstickystem penstemonNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 2000Phacelia Juss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBBurke MuseumPlantago major L.common plantainIOCKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium douglasii GreeneDouglas' knotweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBBurke MuseumPotentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBBurke Museum	Olsynium douglasii (A. Dietr.) E.P. Bicknell	Douglas' grasswidow	N	UNK	Burke Museum
Osmorhiza berteroi DC.sweetcicelyNSRCBS&C 2000Packera Á. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRKershaw et al. 1998Packera Streptanthifolia (Greene) W.A. Weber & Á. Löve Rocky Mountain groundselNSRBurke MuseumPedicularis L. spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1999Penstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1999Penstemon glandulosus Douglasstickystem penstemonNSRCBKershaw et al. 1999Petasties frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 2000Phacelia Juss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBBurke MuseumPlantago major L.common plantainIOCKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcharrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSCRBBurke MuseumPotentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBBurke MuseumPotentilla L. spp.silver cinquefoilISRCBKershaw et al. 1998Potentilla L. spp.silver cinquefoilISRCBKershaw et al. 1998Potentilla L. spp.silver cinquefoilISRCBKershaw et al. 1998	Orthilia secunda (L.) House	sidebells wintergreen	Ν	NS	S&C 2000
Packera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.ragwortNSRKershaw et al. 1998Packera streptanthifolia (Greene) W.A. Weber & Á. Löve Rocky Mountain groundselNSRCBBurke MuseumPedicularis L. spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon glandulosus Douglasstickystem penstemonNSRCBKershaw et al. 1999Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 2000Phacelia Juss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBBurke MuseumPolemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN'ISCRBBurke MuseumPotentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN'ISCRBBurke Museum	Osmorhiza berteroi DC.	sweetcicely	Ν	SRCB	S&C 2000
Packera streptanthifolia (Greene) W.A. Weber & A. LöveRocky Mountain groundselNSRCBBurke MuseumPedicularis L. spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1999Penstemon glandulosus Douglasstickystem penstemonNSRCBKershaw et al. 1999Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 2000Phacelia Juss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBBurke MuseumPolemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Polegonum douglasii GreeneDouglas' knotweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBBurke Museum	Packera A. Löve & D. Löve spp.	ragwort	Ν	SR	Kershaw et al. 1998
Pedicularis L. spp.lousewortNSRCBBurke MuseumPenstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1999Penstemon glandulosus Douglasstickystem penstemonNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 2000Phacelia Juss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBBurke MuseumPolemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium qualsii GreeneDouglas' knotweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBBurke Museum	Packera streptanthifolia (Greene) W.A. Weber & A. Löv	eRocky Mountain groundsel	Ν	SRCB	Burke Museum
Penstemon Schmidel spp.beardtongueNSRCBKershaw et al. 1999Penstemon glandulosus Douglasstickystem penstemonNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 2000Phacelia Juss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBBurke MuseumPlantago major L.common plantainIOCKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Polegonum douglasii GreeneDouglas' knotweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBBurke Museum	<i>Pedicularis</i> L. spp.	lousewort	Ν	SRCB	Burke Museum
Penstemon glandulosus Douglasstickystem penstemonNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.arctic sweet coltsfootNOCKershaw et al. 2000Phacelia Juss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBBurke MuseumPlantago major L.common plantainIOCKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Polygonum douglasii GreeneDouglas' knotweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBBurke MuseumPotentilla argentea L.silver cinquefoilISRCBKershaw et al. 1998	Penstemon Schmidel spp.	beardtongue	Ν	SRCB	Kershaw et al. 1999
Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr. arctic sweet coltsfoot N OC Kershaw et al. 2000 Phacelia Juss. spp. phacelia N SRCB S&C 2000 Plantago lanceolata L. narrowleaf plantain I SRCB Burke Museum Plantago major L. common plantain I OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook. Jacob's-ladder N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Polygonum douglasii Greene Douglas' knotweed N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Potentilla L. spp. cinquefoil N/I SCRB Burke Museum	Penstemon glandulosus Douglas	stickystem penstemon	Ν	SRCB	Kershaw et al. 1998
Phacelia Juss. spp.phaceliaNSRCBS&C 2000Plantago lanceolata L.narrowleaf plantainISRCBBurke MuseumPlantago major L.common plantainIOCKershaw et al. 1998Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook.Jacob's-ladderNSRCBKershaw et al. 1998Polygonum douglasii GreeneDouglas' knotweedNOCKershaw et al. 1998Potentilla L. spp.cinquefoilN/ISCRBBurke MuseumPotentilla argentea L.silver cinquefoilISRCBKershaw et al. 1998	Petasites frigidus (L.) Fr.	arctic sweet coltsfoot	Ν	OC	Kershaw et al. 2000
Plantoni o lanceolata L. narrowleaf plantain I SRCB Burke Museum Plantago najor L. common plantain I OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook. Jacob's-ladder N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Polygonum douglasii Greene Douglas' knotweed N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Potentilla L. spp. cinquefoil N/I SCRB Burke Museum Potentilla argentea L. silver cinquefoil I SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998	Phacelia Juss spp	phacelia	N	SRCB	S&C 2000
Plantago major L. common plantain I OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook. Jacob's-ladder N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Polygonum douglasii Greene Douglas' knotweed N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Potentilla L. spp. cinquefoil N/I SCRB Burke Museum Potentilla argentea L. silver cinquefoil I SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998	Plantago lanceolata L.	narrowleaf plantain	I	SRCB	Burke Museum
Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook. Jacob's-ladder N SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998 Polygonum douglasii Greene Douglas' knotweed N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Potentilla L. spp. cinquefoil N/I SCRB Burke Museum Potentilla argentea L. silver cinquefoil I SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998	Plantago major L.	common plantain	Ι	OC	Kershaw et al. 1998
Polygonum douglasii Greene Douglas' knotweed N OC Kershaw et al. 1998 Potentilla L. spp. cinquefoil N/I SCRB Burke Museum Potentilla argentea L. silver cinquefoil I SRCB Kershaw et al. 1998	Polemonium pulcherrimum Hook	Jacob's-ladder	Ν	SRCB	Kershaw et al 1998
Potentilla L. spp. cinquefoil N/I SCRB Burke Museum Potentilla argentea L. silver cinquefoil I SRCB Kershaw et al 1998	Polygonum douglasii Greene	Douglas' knotweed	N	OC	Kershaw et al. 1998
Potentilla argentea L. silver cinquefoil I SRCB Kersbaw et al 1998	Potentilla L spp	cinquefoil	N/I	SCRB	Burke Museum
	Potentilla argentea L	silver cinquefoil	I	SRCB	Kershaw et al 1998

¹FEIS = Fire Effects Information System (http://www.feis-crs.org/beta/), accessed 7 July 2014; S&C 2000 = Stickney and Campbell 2000; USDA Plants = USDA Plants Database (http://plants.usda.gov), accessed 12 May 2015; Burke Museum = University of Washington Burke Museum (http://www.burkemuseum.org/herbarium), accessed 9 July 2014; Kershaw *et al.* 1998.

Table 2, continued. N = native; I = introduced; NS = nonsurvivor; OC = off-site colonizer; SR = survivor rhizome; RC = residual colonizer; SRCB = survivor taproot, caudex, or bulb.

	Regeneration			
Scientific name	Common name	Status	s Strategy	Source ¹
Potentilla gracilis Douglas ex Hook.	slender cinquefoil	N	SRCB	Kershaw et al. 1998
Potentilla recta L.	sulphur cinquefoil	Ι	SRCB	FEIS
Prosartes trachycarpa S. Watson	roughfruit fairybells	Ν	SR	S&C 2000
Prunella vulgaris L.	common selfheal	Ν	OC	S&C 2000
Ranunculus L. spp.	buttercup	N/I	SRCB	FEIS
Ranunculus uncinatus D. Don ex G. Don	woodland buttercup	N	SRCB	Burke Museum
Rudbeckia alnicola Piper	showy coneflower	N	SR	Kershaw et al. 1998
Rumex acetosella L	common sheep sorrel	I	SR	FEIS
Sedum stenonetalum Pursh	wormleaf stonecron	N	OC.	Kershaw <i>et al</i> 1998
Silene L spp	catchfly	N/I	SR	S&C 2000
Solidago canadensis L	Canada goldenrod	N	OC.	Kershaw <i>et al</i> 1998
Stellaria L spp	starwort	N/I	00	Burke Museum
Stellaria media (L.) Vill	common chickweed	I	SR	Kershaw <i>et al</i> 1998
Tanacetum vulgare L	common tansy	Ī	SR	FEIS
Tarayacum officinale FH Wigg	common dandelion	I	00	S&C 2000
Thalictrum occidentale A Gray	western meadow-rue	N	SRCB	S&C 2000
Thelypodium laciniatum (Hook) Endl. ex Waln	cutleaf thelypody	N	OC	Kershaw et al. 1998
Tragonogon dubius Scon	vellow salsify	I	00	S&C 2000
Tragonogon porrifolius I	saleify	I	00	Burke Museum
Trautvattaria caroliniansis (Walter) Vail	Carolina bugbane	N	SR	S&C 2000
Trifolium ranges I	white clover	I	OC	S&C 2000
Tritolaia grandiflora Lindl	largeflower triteleia	N	SPCB	Kershaw at al. 1008
Intereta grananfora Lindi.	stinging nettle	N/I	SPCB	S&C 2000
Valoriana opeidentalia A Hollor	western velorien	IN/I NI	LINIV	LISDA Planta
Vaileriana occidentatis A. Hellel	westerni valerian	IN	OC	S&C 2000
Verbascum mapsus L.	violet	I N/I	SD	Korshow at al. 1008
Zigadawug Michy, ann	daethaamus	IN/I N	SPCP	Kershaw et al. 1998
Zigudenus Micha, spp. Zizia antera (A. Groy) Fornald	mandau zizia	N	SECD	LISDA Planta
Shrubs		11	SKCD	USDATIants
Acar glabrum Torr	Pocky Mountain maple	N	SPCB	S&C 2000
Allows Mill spp	alder	N	SPCB	S&C 2000
Amus Mill. spp. Amelanchier alnifolia (Nutt.) Nutt. ex. M. Roem	Saskatoon serviceberry	N	SRCB	S&C 2000
Aretostanhulos una ursi (L.) Spreng	kinnikinnick	N	SPCB	FEIS
Cognothus volutinus Douglas ex Hook	snowbrush ceanothus	N	RC	S&C 2000
Chimanhila umbellata (L) WPC Barton	ninsissewa	N	NS	S&C 2000
Mahonia ranges (Lindl) G. Don	creeping barberry	N	SP	FEIS
Manziasia farruginga Sm	rusty menziesia	N	SRCB	S&C 2000
Philadalphus lawisii Pursh	Lewis' mock orange	N	SRCB	S&C 2000
Physocarpus malyacous (Greene) Kuntze	mallow ninebark	N	SPCB	S&C 2000
Prunus L spp	nlum	IN N/I	SRCD	USDA Plants
Drumus creatingta (Douglos or Hoole) D. Diotr	bittor chorry	IN/I NI	SRCD	USDA Flaints
Prunus emarginata (Douglas ex Hook.) D. Dieti.	ourrent	IN N/I	DC	S&C 2000
Ribes L. Spp. Pilos Lacustra (Dors.) Doir	priokly ourront	IN/I N	RC PC	S&C 2000
Ribes rideosiggimum Durgh	stieles surrent	IN N	RC DC	S&C 2000
Ribes Viscosissimum Pulsii		IN N/I	SPCP	S&C 2000
Rosa L. spp.	hladkharry	IN/I NI/I	DC	S&C 2000
Rubus L. Spp.	A mariaan rad raanharry	IN/I NI/I	RC DC	S&C 2000
RUDUS IAACUS L.	American red raspberry	IN/I N	KU CD	S&C 2000
Rubus parvijiorus Null.	Casular's suillass	IN N	SK	S&C 2000
Sallx scouleriana Barrall ex Hook.	Scouler's willow	IN N	SKUB	S&C 2000
Samoucus racemosa L.	red elderberry	IN N	KU CD	S&C 2000
Spiraea betuiljolla Pall.	white spirea	IN N	SK	S&C 2000
Symphoricarpos alous (L.) S.F. Blake	common snowberry	IN N	SK	S&C 2000
Vaccinium membranaceum Douglas ex Torr.	thinleaf nuckleberry	IN	SK	S&C 2000
the sum die (Deuglee en D. Den) Lindl		NT	00	C & C 2000
Ables granals (Douglas ex D. Don) Lindi.	grand IIr	IN N	DC	S&C 2000
Larix occiaentalis Nutt.	Western larch	IN N	KU	S&C 2000
Picea engelmannil Parry ex Engelm.	Engelmann spruce	IN	UC	Sac 2000
ex S. Watson	lodgepole pine	Ν	RC	S&C 2000
Pinus ponderosa Lawson and C. Lawson	ponderosa pine	N	OC	S&C 2000
Pseudotsuga menziesii (Mirb.) Franco	Douglas-fir	N	00	S&C 2000

¹FEIS = Fire Effects Information System (http://www.feis-crs.org/beta/), accessed 7 July 2014; S&C 2000 = Stickney and Campbell 2000; USDA Plants = USDA Plants Database (http://plants.usda.gov), accessed 12 May 2015; Burke Museum = University of Washington Burke Museum (http://www.burkemuseum.org/herbarium), accessed 9 July 2014; Kershaw *et al.* 1998.

tation response (species richness, species diversity, abundance of the three different plant growth forms, and the four different regeneration strategies) by treatment. We used only the 2009 data for this analysis because we anticipated that differences in vegetation response would be evident four years post fire, and that these differences have long-term consequences. We combined slope and aspect to form a continuous variable for ease in statistical analysis {Aspslp = percent slope × [cosine (aspect)]} (Stage 1976). The other site-specific variables were treatment, tree density class, elevation, and average tree canopy cover post fire.

RESULTS

Plant Species Richness and Diversity

Both richness and Shannon-Wiener diversity of understory plants varied with treatment, year, and the interaction of treatment and year (Figure 2). Plant species richness and diversity were higher on plots burned with low and moderate burn severity than on some unburned plots, and plots burned with high severity had the lowest richness and diversity overall. Salvage logging and seeding both significantly decreased richness and diversity (Figure 2, Table 3). At higher pre-fire tree density, both species richness (P = 0.02) and diversity (P = 0.02)



Figure 2. Species richness (number of species, top) and Shannon-Wiener (SW) diversity index (bottom) for all severity and seeding treatment combinations. Data are contrasted by not salvage logged (left) and salvage logged (right). Error bars represent standard error. Capital letters next to the years on the *x*-axis and next to the treatments in the legend represent significant differences between means by year or by treatment; legend items are ordered from highest to lowest mean value over all years

Table 3. The effect of salvage logging on plant cover type, by regeneration strategy. Plots are compared over all study years with simple effects tests at the same severity level; for example, high burn severity salvage logged plots are compared to high burn severity plots that were not salvage logged. Significant differences between salvage logged and not salvage logged plots are indicated in bold. Unless indicated by a footnote, salvage logging decreased the richness, diversity, or cover of each significant measure.

	Measure	<i>F</i> -value	<i>P</i> -value
	Richness	4.53	0.03
seeded	Diversity	1.07	0.30
	Grass	0.20	0.66
ity,	Forb	2.16	0.14
ver	Shrub	0.13	0.72
l se	Survivor rhizome (SR)	0.98	0.32
urn	Offsite colonizer (OC)	0.70	0.40
igh bı	Survivor taproot, caudex, or bulb (SRCB)	0.10	0.75
H	Residual colonizer (RC)	12.56	< 0.001
ed	Richness	12.47	<0.001
eed	Diversity	8.61	0.004
sur	Grass ^A	9.64	0.002
y, I	Forb	7.40	0.007
eril	Shrub	9.12	0.003
sev	Survivor rhizome (SR)	0.49	0.48
gh burn s	Offsite colonizer (OC)	0.87	0.35
	Survivor taproot, caudex, or bulb (SRCB)	0.06	0.80
Ηi	Residual colonizer (RC)	7.04	0.008
	Richness	0.84	0.36
ity	Diversity	1.17	0.28
ver	Grass ^A	10.11	0.002
ı se	Forb	29.99	<0.001
LIN	Shrub	0.66	0.42
te b	Survivor rhizome (SR)	0.59	0.44
erat	Offsite colonizer (OC)	8.99	0.003
Mode	Survivor taproot, caudex, or bulb (SRCB)	5.16	0.02
	Residual colonizer (RC)	0.58	0.45
Low burn severity	Richness	2.29	0.13
	Diversity	3.42	0.07
	Grass	0.00	0.97
	Forb	1.64	0.20
	Shrub	4.14	0.04
	Survivor rhizome (SR)	0.03	0.86
	Offsite colonizer (OC)	0.01	0.91
	Survivor taproot, caudex, or bulb (SRCB)	1.53	0.22
	Residual colonizer (RC)	2.10	0.15

^A Grass cover was higher on the salvage logged plots compared to the not salvage logged plots.

0.003) were less four years after the fire, while richness was greater with higher tree canopy cover (P = 0.005).

In the first year post fire, species richness was significantly lower on high severity burns than on unburned, low, or moderate severity plots, regardless of whether they were salvage logged or not (P < 0.001) (Table 3). In the second, third, fourth, and sixth years post fire, species richness did not differ significantly on plots burned with high, moderate, and low severity that were not salvage logged (P >0.05); species richness increased significantly between the first post-fire year and the sixth post-fire year on both salvage logged and not salvage logged plots (Figure 2). Of plots that were salvage logged, species richness was lower in the fourth post-fire year in high severity burned plots than in either low or moderate burn severity plots (P = 0.002). Species richness was higher on the seeded and unseeded high severity plots that were not salvage logged than on the salvage-logged counterparts (P = 0.03 and < 0.001, respectively) (Table 3).

Species diversity was higher on low severity burned plots compared to high severity burned plots in the second and fourth years post fire (P = 0.005 and P = 0.001, respectively), and on moderate burn severity plots compared to high severity plots four years post fire (P = 0.005). However, species diversity did not differ among treatments in the third and sixth years post fire (P > 0.05). Species diversity was also lower on plots that were salvage logged and burned at high severity than in plots burned at low and moderate severity in the second post-fire year (P = 0.009). Considering all years together, species diversity was lower on unseeded high severity plots than on low and moderate severity plots (Figure 2), and diversity of the seeded plots was overall higher than the unseeded high-severity counterparts (P = 0.004, Figure 2).

Plant Growth Form

Regardless of burn severity and salvage logging, graminoid cover was less than 15% throughout the study period except on plots seeded with native grasses (Figure 3). Within

high severity burns, graminoid cover was significantly greater on seeded plots than burned plots that were not seeded for each year measured (P < 0.05). In years two and three post fire, graminoid cover was significantly greater on burned seeded plots than on unburned plots



Figure 3. Percent canopy cover for grasses, forbs, and shrubs by burn severity. Data are contrasted by not salvage logged (left) and salvage logged (right). Error bars represent standard error. Capital letters next to the years on the *x*-axis and next to the treatments in the legend represent significant differences between means by year or by treatment; legend items are ordered from highest to lowest mean value over all years.

(P < 0.01 for both). Burn severity (P = 0.001), year $(P \le 0.001)$, and the interaction of burn severity and year (P = 0.009) all significantly influenced graminoid cover. Interestingly, graminoid cover was higher on the salvage logged moderate and unseeded high severity plots (P = 0.002 for both) than on the not salvage logged counterparts (Table 3). However, graminoid cover did not significantly increase during the study period on the salvage logged plots (Figure 3). Elevation was the only site factor to influence graminoid cover (P = 0.001) by post-fire year four; graminoid cover was greater at low elevations.

Forbs constituted a majority of total understory plant cover, up to 35%, regardless of whether plots were salvage logged or not. Forb cover was significantly lower on plots that burned at high severity than plots that burned at low severity or those that were unburned ($P \le 0.001$ for all comparisons). On the plots that were not salvage logged, forb cover was lowest in the first post-fire year and significantly higher in each other year (Figure 3). On the salvage logged plots, forb cover was lower on the moderate and high burn severity plots than on the not salvage logged counterparts (P < 0.001 and P < 0.007, respectively) (Table 3). Salvage logging might have hindered forb recovery over time; forb cover was not significantly higher in post-fire year six than it was in the first post-fire year (Figure 3). Average tree canopy cover (P = 0.001) and combined slope and aspect (P = 0.01) affected forb cover four years after the fire; forb cover was greater on more mesic sites.

Shrub cover increased through time regardless of salvage logging or burn severity (Figure 3), which was different than graminoid and forb recovery over the same period. In the first year post fire, shrub cover on plots not salvage logged was significantly lower on high and moderate burn severity plots than on unburned plots (P = 0.003), but there were no significant differences in shrub abundance among treatments in subsequent individual years (P > 0.05), regardless of whether plots had been seeded or salvage logged. With all years considered, unseeded plots that burned at high severity and were not salvage logged had the highest overall shrub cover (Figure 3), and seeded high severity plots had the lowest shrub cover. In post-fire year six, high severity plots that were seeded had significantly less shrub cover than plots that were not seeded (P = 0.02). In year four, shrub cover differed for all treatments ($P \le 0.05$) with the exception of plots burned with high severity and seeded, but neither salvage logged nor burned. Considering all years, low and high severity plots that were salvage logged had significantly lower shrub cover than the not salvage logged counterparts (P = 0.004 and P = 0.003, respectively) (Table 3). The only site factor to affect shrub cover in post-fire year four was combined slope and aspect (P = 0.03). As combined slope and aspect increased, shrub cover increased.

Plant Regeneration Strategies as Functional Traits

The presence of survivor rhizome (SR) regeneration strategy plants, such as Ross' sedge and bluejoint (Calamagrostis rubescens Buckley), varied similarly with time and treatment, whether plots were salvage logged or not (Figure 4). In year one post fire, low, moderate, and high severity plots all had significantly lower cover of survivor rhizomes than unburned plots (P < 0.001); year one also had the lowest overall cover compared to the other years (Figure 4). Over all years on the plots that were not salvage logged, unburned and low severity plots had higher SR cover than the moderate and high severity, unseeded plots (P < 0.001) (Figure 4). On the salvage logged plots, there was no change in SR cover over time, and only the low severity plots had significantly higher SR cover than the unseeded, high severity plots (P = 0.02) (Figure 4). Salvage logging did not appear to have a signifi-



Figure 4. Percent canopy cover for four different regeneration strategies (survivor rhizome [SR]; off-site colonizers [OC]; survivor taproot, caudex, or bulb [SRCB]; residual colonizers [RC]) by year, burn severity, salvage logged, and seeded with native grass. Error bars represent standard error. Capital letters next to the years on the *x*-axis and next to the treatments in the legend represent significant differences between means by year or by treatment; legend items are ordered from highest to lowest mean value over all years.

cant effect on SR cover types (Table 3). Average tree canopy cover was the only site factor to influence SR cover (P = 0.01) four years after the fire; SR cover was greater where tree cover was greater.

One year post fire, offsite colonizers (OC) canopy cover was low and did not differ by treatment; year one also had the lowest OC cover of any post-fire year (Figure 4). In years two, three, and four post fire, however, moderate and high severity plots had significantly greater canopy cover of OC plants than did low severity plots (P < 0.005), and in year three post fire, high severity plots also had significantly greater cover of offsite colonizers than unburned plots (P < 0.001). Over all years on the plots that were not salvage logged, moderate and high severity plots had higher OC cover than unburned, low severity, and high severity seeded plots. Offsite colonizers cover on plots that were salvage logged was not statistically different than plots that were not salvage logged, except on the moderate severity plots, where salvage logging decreased OC cover (P = 0.003) (Table 3). The OC cover differed with year (P < 0.001) and the interaction of year and treatment (P =0.003), but not with treatment (P = 0.17). High severity, unseeded plots had higher OC cover than low severity and high severity seeded plots (Figure 4). Prickly lettuce, thistles (Cirsium spp. Mill.), and many grasses commonly establish as OC. The OC cover four years after fire increased with greater pre-fire tree density (P = 0.01).

Shrubs, forbs, and graminoids that resprout from a survivor taproot, caudex, or bulb (SRCB) increased between the first and sixth post-fire years, regardless of burn severity or salvage logging (Figure 4). In year one post fire, only plots classified as burned with high severity had significantly lower SRCB cover than those classified as low severity (P <0.05). In the second post-fire year, we found abundant cover of Scouler's willow, miner's lettuce (*Claytonia perfoliata* Donn ex Willd.), and Idaho fescue on plots burned with high severity that were seeded but not salvage logged. Also in year two post fire, high severity plots that were both salvage logged and seeded had significantly greater SRCB cover than unburned plots, whereas in year three post fire, moderate severity plots had significantly greater SRCB cover than unburned plots (P <0.05). In year four and six post fire, high severity plots had significantly greater SRCB cover when compared to low severity plots. Over all years, SRCB cover was lower on unburned plots than on all other plots (P < 0.05), and salvage logging appeared to affect SRCB cover only on moderate severity plots (compared to the not salvage-logged counterparts) (P < 0.05) (Table 3). Four years after the fire, there were no site factors that significantly influenced SRCB cover.

Residual colonizers (RC) plants were in low abundance (<5%) on all treatments in all years (Figure 4). However low, RC plant cover was greater in the second through sixth post-fire years than in the first year, regardless of salvage logging (Figure 4). On the plots that were not salvage logged, across all years, unburned and low burn severity plots had higher RC cover than moderate and high severity, unseeded plots. Similarly on the salvage logged plots, low and moderate burn severity plots had higher RC cover than high severity plots. Lower RC cover was found on the high severity salvage logged plots, regardless of seeding (P = 0.008 unseeded, P <0.001 seeded) (Table 3). Currant, snowbrush ceanothus (Ceanothus velutinus Douglas ex Hook.) and Ross' sedge species were plants with this regeneration strategy and were found on many plots. By the fourth post-fire year, RC increased with increasing elevation (P =0.04), and combined slope and aspect (P =0.02).

DISCUSSION

Burn Severity Influenced Vegetation Response

Vegetation cover generally increased steadily after the fire, as we expected, with most differences occurring between plots classified as high severity burns and those plots burned with either low or moderate severity (Figures 2, 3, and 4). Vegetation cover, species richness, and diversity were lower on high severity plots than on plots burned with moderate and low severity both immediately post fire and for the following six years. Lentile et al. (2007) and MacDonald (2007) found no significant differences in species richness with burn severity one year after western US wildfires, whereas we found significantly lower species richness and diversity on the high severity plots throughout the study period. Abella and Fornwalt (2015) found that species richness increased immediately after burning, especially on sites burned with high severity, as plants present pre-fire increased in abundance and additional plants established. Further. they found, as we did, that differences persisted, although they became less pronounced between areas burned with different burn severity. Morgan and Neuenschwander (1988) and Lentile et al. (2007) found differences in species cover with burn severity. In areas burned with low and moderate severity, plants quickly established in abundance post fire by resprouting, from seeds in the seedbank, or from nearby surviving vegetation (Ryan and Noste 1985). Plots burned with high severity have gaps for plants to establish within, but may have fewer available nutrients, favorable soil properties, and resources for plant colonization. The lack of overstory vegetation combined with a dark ground surface with high albedo can increase plant exposure to high temperatures that cause can cause heat stress. Further, patches of high burn severity often have a greater distance to seed sources from unburned edges, harsher growing conditions,

and time lag in regeneration (Lyon and Stickney 1976; Turner *et al.* 1997, 1999, 2003; Hunter *et al.* 2006).

Lentile et al. (2007) found, as we did, that understory plant species abundance was highly variable, especially in low severity burns compared to areas burned with high severity within the same fire. Likely this reflects the finegrained spatial variability of fire effects on the forest floor within low and moderate severity burns (Hudak et al. 2007), which creates a variety of microsites for plant survival and postfire establishment. Differences in site conditions also contributed to multiple regeneration strategies, likely reflecting both differences in site productivity and environmental conditions, and pre-fire vegetation composition-although we do not know the composition of vegetation prior to the fire for our plots.

Native Grass Seeding Altered Post-Fire Vegetation Response

Somewhat surprisingly, plots burned with high severity that were not seeded did not have significantly greater species richness and diversity than high severity burned plots that were seeded. Others have reported decreased native species richness and diversity due to the success of seeded grass species (Taskey et al. 1989, Sexton 1998). On our plots seeded with native grass, the graminoid cover was dense in the first growing season (up to 80% canopy cover), likely due to favorable rainfall (Robichaud et al. 2013). Nonetheless, other plant species were able to establish and persist, although with lower percent cover than on plots without grass seeding. Hunter and Omi (2006) found that both post-fire canopy cover and species richness of native plants were lower where seeded grass cover was high in Arizona, USA. Our results were similar, with less species richness and lower forb and shrub cover on the high burn severity seeded plots.

Native grass seeding following six large wildfires in Mesa Verde National Park, Colorado, USA, resulted in plant species richness and diversity findings similar to ours (Floyd *et al.* 2006). Seeded plots in that study produced greater diversity and richness than unseeded counterparts, although the plots in Mesa Verde had much lower total vegetation cover than our plots, likely due to drier conditions. We found few non-native species, even in plots that were seeded after fire with grass. Others found non-native species were introduced through seeding (Hunter and Omi 2006, Hunter *et al.* 2006).

Beyers (2004) and Peppin et al. (2010) concluded from their reviews of multiple research and monitoring projects that seeding reduced abundance of post-fire native vegetation. For example, Stella et al. (2010) documented less abundant forbs for more than a year post fire on plots seeded with a mix of native and non-native grasses. Schoennagel and Waller (1999) found reduced abundance of native plants when frequency and cover of seeded non-native plant species were high. In contrast, Hunter and Omi (2006) found that vegetation cover did not differ for plots burned with high and low severity four years after the Cerro Grande Fire in New Mexico, USA. Peppin et al. (2010) suggested that burn severity, the species seeded, and the success of seeding influenced whether seeding altered post-fire vegetation response.

We saw a peak in graminoid cover in the second post-fire year, followed by a slight decline and then a gradual increase through the remainder of the study period (Figures 2, 3, and 4), even though post-fire precipitation was above average. Peppin *et al.* (2010) attributed this common post-fire pattern to the successful establishment of species seeded that then declined in abundance by the fourth year post fire, but on our seeded plots neither total species richness nor graminoid cover declined.

Salvage Logging Altered Vegetation Response

Salvage logging significantly altered species richness, diversity, and understory plant cover one to six years post fire. Plots that were salvage logged generally had less total vegetative cover of shrubs and forbs than plots of similar burn severity that were not salvage logged, but also had a higher percent cover of graminoids in each year. However, vegetation response differences due to burn severity were more pronounced than differences due to salvage logging (Figure 3).

Salvage logging influenced vegetation response perhaps because salvage logging increased bare mineral soil exposure from an average of 43% exposed soil after the fire (but before salvage logging) to an average of 73% exposed soil in year four post fire on plots that were salvage logged (Lewis et al. 2012). Further, salvage logged plots burned with low severity had less bare soil (54%) than those that had burned with moderate and high severity (65% and 74%, respectively; Lewis et al. 2012). While nearly 75% exposed soil is high, the soil disturbance was largely restricted to the individual salvage units and was not widespread. In general, the salvage logging done on the national forest after the School Fire was low impact by design. Salvage logging that reduces overstory tree canopy cover and standing snags result in altered light penetration, gaps, and microsite conditions on the forest floor (Ricklefs 1977, Gray and Spies 1997) that could increase understory vegetation abundance. Keyser et al. (2009) found that understory plant communities on salvage logged plots reached pre-fire percent canopy cover in as little as five years, with no additional invasive species.

Salvage logged high severity burn plots had the lowest species richness and diversity of all plots, especially when they were seeded with native grasses. Salvage logging can shift vegetation structure to favor graminoid species over other understory species (Sexton 1998), likely because graminoids are highly resilient to disturbance and often are not killed by fire due to their growth form and large proportion of live biomass belowground (Bond and van Wilgen 1996). The additional post-fire treatment of seeding with grasses in plots that were salvage logged may likely shift vegetation composition in favor of graminoids.

Plant Functional Traits and Pre-Fire Forest Structure and Management

All resprouters were little affected by salvage logging and seeding, and there were few differences with burn severity. Careful assessment, not just broad classifications such as the ones we used, is needed (Hooper and Vitousek 1998), especially as many plants use multiple regeneration strategies (Lyon and Stickney 1976, Morgan and Neuenschwander 1988). For example, we observed Scouler's willow growing as resprouts and apparently regenerating prolifically from seed.

The pre-fire plant composition is clearly important (Halpern 1988), especially for plants that resprout; however, we do not have pre-fire plant data. Without the pre-fire data, it is difficult to evaluate resilience defined as the degree to which post-fire vegetation resembled pre-fire vegetation, as was done by Abella and Fornwalt (2015). Furthermore, pre-fire forest management would have affected forest structure at the time of the fire, and therefore burn severity as well (Graham et al. 1999, 2004; Hudak et al. 2011). Analysis by pre-fire forest density classes could prove useful in similar studies in the future, although the confounding effects of forest structure and site make this challenging. While we found site factors to have some effect on vegetation cover, post-fire vegetation composition showed no consistent patterns relative to prefire tree density, average tree canopy cover, elevation, or combined slope and aspect. None of these variables had a predominant or predictable effect on vegetation composition on our study plots. This is likely due in part to the unbalanced sampling design making it more difficult to compare factors within and across treatment classes. The numerous forest disturbances (pre-fire treatments, fire at varying severity levels, salvage logging, and seeding) also made it more difficult to test for simple effects, because there were so many treatment classes and combinations to consider. We would recommend future studies carefully limit treatment classes and combinations for more interpretable results.

Management Implications and Limitations

Understory vegetation recovered, but species richness, diversity, and abundance were lower on high severity burns, particularly if those severely burned sites were also salvage logged and seeded with native grasses. Burn severity influenced understory vegetation response more than either salvage logging or post-fire seeding with locally adapted native grasses. Differences were greatest immediately after disturbance, but less pronounced six years post-fire. Nonetheless, the initial differences in understory vegetation could affect future forest development (Abella and Fornwalt 2015). On our plots on this fire, Droske (2012) found much lower density of naturally established Douglas-fir and grand fir tree seedlings on areas burned with high severity (0 trees ha-1 to 5166 trees ha-1) compared to sites burned with low and moderate burn severities (0 trees ha⁻¹ to 31833 trees ha⁻¹ ¹), in part because much of the plots that burned with high severity were far from surviving trees that could provide seed. Here on the School Fire, both salvage logging and seeding were limited in extent, and their impacts were carefully managed to minimize detrimental effects. Such practices as using certified weed-free seed with locally adapted native species, and limiting the extent of soil disturbance from salvage logging disturbance should continue. Locally, managers still plan to seed with native grasses after logging and other disturbances, but with lower amounts of seed than was used on the School Fire (D. Groat, Umatilla National Forest, Pomeroy Ranger Station, Pomeroy, Oregon, USA, personal communication). We recommend that managers locally and on other forests carefully consider seed application locations and rate, and highly recommend the use of native seed when available. Minimizing the impact and disturbance from salvage logging is also a fundamental factor when considering shortand long-term vegetation and soil recovery.

Vegetation here was resilient to the combined effects of large fire and post-fire management, as was found by Abella and Fornwalt (2015) on the Hayman Fire. Likely this is related to the relatively forgiving soils that did not experience significant erosion and near to above-average precipitation in the early years post fire (Robichaud *et al.* 2013). Furthermore, this landscape was actively managed through logging, thinning, and burning for decades prior to the fire. Local managers carefully conducted post-fire management to limit potentially detrimental effects.

This study has several limitations. First, salvage logging extended over several years, making it challenging to infer vegetation response as a direct result of salvage logging across the range of burn severities. Second, despite our best efforts, the number of plots was not balanced across burn severity, seeding, or salvage logging treatments. Third, we were unable to fulfill our original intent of attributing the contributions of pre-fire stand conditions (many of which resulted from prior timber harvesting); this is important as prior stand treatments surely influenced burn severity and pre-fire understory vegetation composition. Fourth, without comparison to other studies, it is difficult to generalize without understanding the unique effects of the salvage equipment and intensity applied here, site differences, and post-burn climate. Additional studies that help untangle the complexities associated with interacting disturbances will assist in providing science-based directions for forest managers tasked with managing postfire landscapes.

CONCLUSIONS

Burn severity influenced understory vegetation response more than post-fire salvage logging and seeding with locally adapted native grasses. Vegetation cover was lowest on plots burned with high severity fire that were both seeded and salvage logged. Salvage logging did reduce canopy cover in both forbs and shrubs, but cover of graminoids was higher when salvage logged, indicating that salvage logging may not affect all plant forms in the same way. Seeding with locally sourced native grasses allowed native forbs, shrubs, and conifers to establish and grow but in lower abundance when grass cover was high. Initial differences, although pronounced, declined with time so that vegetation richness, diversity, and abundance of shrubs, forbs, and grasses were all similar near the end of the study period, whether they were salvage logged or not. Our findings are consistent with theory and previous findings, suggesting that seeding and salvage logging can hinder the recovery of understory vegetation, especially on sites that burn at high severity. Few studies have similarly monitored the post-fire response of vegetation over half a decade, yet this early successional period shapes forest community development and management implications for interior mixed-conifer forests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported in part by funds provided by the US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station to the University of Idaho through Research Joint Venture Agreement 08-JV-11221634-236; and also by the US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, and Department of Interior Joint Fire Science Program (Project 06-1-02-03), the Umatilla National Forest, and the University of Idaho. We thank C. Clifton, C. Busskohl, S. Riley, V. Erickson, M. Fujishin, and others on the Umatilla National Forest for their support of our research efforts. We appreciate assistance with field data collection from D. Carson, E. Berryman, M. Holthuijzen, J. Hulbert, G. Qualmann, S. Bunting, T. Moran, C. Bernau, and K. Kemp.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abella, S.R., and P. J. Fornwalt. 2015. Ten years of vegetation assembly after a North American mega fire. Global Change Biology 21: 789–802. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12722
- Agee, J.K. 1993. Fire ecology of Pacific Northwest forests. Island Press, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Beschta, R.L., J.J. Rhodes, J.B. Kauffman, R.E. Gresswell, G.W. Minshall, J.R. Karr, D.A. Perry, F.R. Hauer, and C.A. Frissell. 2004. Postfire management on forested public lands of the western United States. Conservation Biology 18: 957–967. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.00495.x
- Beyers, J. 2004. Postfire seeding for erosion control: effectiveness and impacts on native plant communities. Conservation Biology 18: 947–956. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.00523.x
- Bond, W.J., and B.W. van Wilgen. 1996. Fire and plants. Chapman and Hall, London, England, United Kingdom. doi: 10.1007/978-94-009-1499-5
- Bonham, C.D. 1989. Measurements for terrestrial vegetation. John Wiley and Sons, New York, New York, USA.
- Box, G.E.P., and D.R. Cox. 1964. An analysis of transformations. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B 26: 211–252.
- Brown, J.K., E.D. Reinhardt, and K.A. Kramer. 2003. Coarse woody debris: managing benefits and fire hazard in the recovering forest. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-105, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden, Utah, USA.
- Brown, J.K., and J.K. Smith. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-42-Volume 2, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden, Utah, USA.
- Chapin, F.S., III, K. Autumn, and F. Pugnaire. 1993. Evolution of suites of traits in response to environmental stress. American Naturalist 142: 78–92. doi: 10.1086/285524
- Chapin, F.S., III, M.S. Bret-Harte, S.E. Hobbie, and H. Zhong. 1996. Plant functional types as predictors of transient responses of Arctic vegetation to global change. Journal of Vegetation Science 7: 347–358. doi: 10.2307/3236278
- Clark, J.T., and T. Bobbe. 2006. Using remote sensing to map and monitor fire damage in forest ecosystems. Pages 113–131 in: M.A. Wulder and S.E. Franklin, editors. Understanding forest disturbance and spatial patterns: remote sensing and GIS approaches. Taylor and Francis, Florence, Kentucky, USA.
- Cornelissen, J.H.C., S. Lavorel, E. Garnier, S. Diaz, N. Buchmann, D.E. Gurvich, P.B. Reich, H. ter Steege, H.D. Morgan, M.G.A. van der Heijden, J.G. Pausas, and H. Poorter. 2003. A handbook of protocols for standardised and easy measurement of plant functional traits worldwide. Australian Journal of Botany 51: 335–380. doi: 10.1071/BT02124
- Denslow, J.S. 1980. Patterns of plant species diversity during succession under different disturbance regimes. Oecologia 46: 18–21. doi: 10.1007/BF00346960
- Dillon, G.K., Z.A. Holden, P. Morgan, M.A. Crimmins, E.K. Heyerdahl, and C.H. Luce. 2011. Both topography and climate affected forest and woodland burn severity in two regions of the western US, 1984 to 2006. Ecosphere 2(12): 130. doi: 10.1890/ES11-00271.1

- Donato, D.C., J.B. Fontaine, J.L. Campbell, W.D. Robinson, J.B. Kauffman, and B.E. Law. 2006. Post-wildfire logging hinders regeneration and increases fire risk. Science 311: 352. doi: 10.1126/science.1122855
- Droske, C.A. 2012. Conifer seedling density and growth following post-fire salvage logging and grass seeding on the 2005 School Fire, Washington, USA. Thesis, University of Idaho, Moscow, USA.
- FEIS [Fire Effects Information System]. 2010. USDA Rocky Mountain Research Station, Missoula, Montana, USA. http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis. Accessed 8 May 2010.
- Flinn, M.A., and J.K. Pringle. 1983. Heat tolerance of rhizomes of several understory species. Canadian Journal of Botany 61: 452–457. doi: 10.1139/b83-052
- Flinn, M.A., and R.W. Wein. 1977. Depth of underground plant organs and theoretical survival during fire. Canadian Journal of Botany 55: 2550–2554. doi: 10.1139/b77-291
- Floyd, L.M., D. Hanna, W.H. Romme, and T.E. Crews. 2006. Predicting and mitigating weed invasions to restore natural post-fire succession in Mesa Verde National Park, Colorado, USA. International Journal of Wildland Fire 15: 247–259. doi: 10.1071/WF05066
- Franklin, J.F., and J.K. Agee. 2003. Forging a science-based national forest fire policy. Issues in Science and Technology 20: 59–66.
- Graham, R.T., A.E. Harvey, T.B. Jain, and J.R. Tonn. 1999. Effects of thinning and similar stand treatments on fire behavior in western forests. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report PNW-GTR-463, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, Oregon, USA.
- Graham, R.T., S. McCaffrey, and T.B. Jain. 2004. Science basis for changing forest structure to modify wildfire behavior and severity. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-120, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden, Utah, USA.
- Gray, A.N., and T.A. Spies. 1997. Microsite controls on tree seedling establishment in conifer forest canopy gaps. Ecology 78: 2458–2473. doi: 10.1890/0012-9658(1997)078[2458:MCO-TSE]2.0.CO;2
- Halpern, C.B. 1988. Early successional pathways and the resistance and resilience of forest communities. Ecology 69: 1703–1715. doi: 10.2307/1941148
- Hooper, D.U, and P.M. Vitousek. 1998. The effects of plant composition and diversity on ecosystem processes. Science 277: 1302–1305. doi: 10.1126/science.277.5330.1302
- Hudak, A.T., P. Morgan, M.J. Bobbitt, A.M.S. Smith, S.A. Lewis, L.B. Lentile, P.R. Robichaud, J.T. Clark, and R.A. McKinley. 2007. The relationship of multispectral satellite imagery to immediate fire effects. Fire Ecology 3(1): 64–90. doi: 10.4996/fireecology.0301064
- Hudak, A.T., I. Rickert, P. Morgan, E. Strand, S.A. Lewis, P.R. Robichaud, C. Hoffman, and Z.A. Holden. 2011. Review of fuel treatment effectiveness in forests and rangelands and a case study from the 2007 megafires in central Idaho, USA. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-252, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA.
- Hunter, M.E., and P.N. Omi. 2006. Response of native and exotic grasses to increased soil nitrogen and recovery in a postfire environment. Restoration Ecology 14: 587–594. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-100X.2006.00170.x
- Hunter, M.E., P.N. Omi, E.J. Martinson, and G.W. Chong. 2006. Establishment of non-native plant species after wildfires: effects of fuel treatments, abiotic and biotic factors, and post-fire grass seeding treatments. International Journal of Wildland Fire 15: 271–281. doi: 10.1071/WF05074

- Hutto, R.L. 2006. Towards meaningful snag management retention guidelines for post-fire salvage logging in North American conifer forests. Conservation Biology 20: 984–993. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2006.00494.x
- Johnson, C.G., Jr. 1998. Common plants of the inland Pacific Northwest. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report R6-NR-ECOL-TP-09-04, Pacific Northwest Region, Portland, Oregon, USA.
- Johnson, C.G., Jr., and R.R. Clausnitzer. 1991. Plant associations of the Blue and Ochoco mountains. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report R6-ERW-TP-036-92, Pacific Northwest Region, Portland, Oregon, USA.
- Karr, J.R., J.J. Rhodes, G.W. Minshall, F.R. Hauer, R.L Beschta, C.A. Frissell, and D.A. Perry. 2004. The effects of postfire salvage logging on aquatic ecosystems in the American West. BioScience 54: 1029–1033. doi: 10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[1029:TEOPSL]2.0.CO;2
- Keeley, J.E. 2009. Fire intensity, fire severity and burn severity: a brief review and suggested usage. International Journal of Wildland Fire 18: 116–126. doi: 10.1071/WF07049
- Kershaw, L., A. MacKinnon, and J. Pojar. 1998. Plants of the Rocky Mountains. Lone Pine Publishing, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.
- Keyser, T.L., F.W. Smith, and W.D. Shepperd. 2009. Short-term impact of post-fire salvage logging on regeneration, hazardous fuel accumulation, and understory development in ponderosa pine forests of the Black Hills, SD, USA. International Journal of Wildland Fire 18: 451– 458. doi: 10.1071/WF08004
- Klock, G.O. 1975. Impact of five post-fire salvage logging systems on soils and vegetation. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 30: 78–81.
- Lentile L.B., Z.A. Holden, A.M.S. Smith, M.J. Falkowski, A.T. Hudak, P. Morgan, S.A. Lewis, P.E. Gessler, and N.C. Benson. 2006. Remote sensing techniques to assess fire characteristics and post-fire effects. International Journal of Wildland Fire 15: 319–345. doi: 10.1071/ WF05097
- Lentile, L.B, P. Morgan, A.T. Hudak, M.J. Bobbitt, S.A. Lewis, A.M.S. Smith, and P.R. Robichaud. 2007. Burn severity and vegetation response following eight large wildfires across the western US. Fire Ecology 3(1): 91–108. doi: 10.4996/fireecology.0301091
- Lewis, S.A., P.R. Robichaud, A.T. Hudak, B. Austin, and R.J. Liebermann. 2012. Utility of remotely sensed imagery for assessing the impact of salvage logging after forest fires. Remote Sensing 4: 2112–2132. doi: 10.3390/rs4072112
- Lindenmayer, D. 2006. Salvage harvesting—past lessons and future issues. Forest Chronicle 82: 48–53. doi: 10.5558/tfc82048-1
- Lindenmayer, D.B., and R.F. Noss. 2006. Salvage logging, ecosystem processes, and biodiversity conservation. Conservation Biology 20: 949–958. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2006.00497.x
- Littell, J.S., D. McKenzie, D.L. Peterson, and A.L. Westerling. 2009. Climate and wildfire area burned in western US ecoprovinces, 1916-2003. Ecological Applications 19: 1003–1021. doi: 10.1890/07-1183.1
- Macdonald, S.E. 2007. Effects of partial postfire salvage harvesting on vegetation communities in the boreal mixedwood forest region of northeastern Alberta, Canada. Forest Ecology and Management 239: 21–31. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2006.11.006
- Magurran, A.E. 1988. Ecological diversity and its measurement. Princeton University Press, New Jersey, USA. doi: 10.1007/978-94-015-7358-0
- McLean, A. 1969. Fire resistance of forest species as influenced by root systems. Journal of Range Management 22: 120–122. doi: 10.2307/3896195

- McIver, J.D., and L. Starr. 2001. A literature review on the environmental effects of postfire logging. Western Journal of Applied Forestry 16: 159–168.
- McIver, J.D., and R. Ottmar. 2007. Fuel mass and stand structure after post-fire logging of a severely burned ponderosa pine forest in northeastern Oregon. Forest Ecology and Management 238: 268–279. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2006.10.021
- Morgan, P., and L.F. Neuenschwander. 1988. Shrub response to high and low severity burns following clearcutting in northern Idaho. Western Journal of Applied Forestry 3: 5–9.
- Morgan, P., R.E. Keane, G.K. Dillon, T.B. Jain, A.T. Hudak, E.C. Karau, P.G. Sikkink, Z.A. Holden, and E.K. Strand. 2014. Challenges of assessing fire and burn severity using field measures, remote sensing and modeling. International Journal of Wildland Fire 23: 1045–1060. doi: 10.1071/WF13058
- Parsons, A., P.R. Robichaud, S.A. Lewis, C. Napper, and J.T. Clark. 2010. Field guide for mapping post-fire soil burn severity. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-243, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA.
- Peppin, D., P.Z. Fulé, C.H. Sieg, J.L. Beyers, and M. Hunter. 2010. Post-wildfire seeding in forests of the western United States: an evidence-based review. Forest Ecology and Management 260: 573–586. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2010.06.004
- Peppin, D.L., P.Z. Fulé, C.H. Sieg, J.L. Beyers, M.E. Hunter, and P.R. Robichaud. 2011. Recent trends in post-wildfire seeding in western US forests: costs and seed mixes. International Journal of Wildland Fire 20: 702–708. doi: 10.1071/WF10044
- Peterson, D.L., J.K. Agee, G.H. Aplet, D.P. Dykstra, R.T. Graham, J.F. Lehmkuhl, D.S. Pilliod, D.F. Potts, R.F. Powers, and J.D. Stuart. 2009. Effects of timber harvest following wildfire in western North America. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report PNW-GTR-776, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, Oregon, USA.
- Pinheiro, J.C., and D.M. Bates. 2000. Mixed-effects and models and S and S-Plus. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-0318-1
- Ricklefs, R.E. 1977. Environmental heterogeneity and plant species diversity: a hypothesis. American Naturalist 111: 376–381. doi: 10.1086/283169
- Robichaud P.R., J.L. Beyers, and D.G. Neary. 2000. Evaluating the effectiveness of postfire rehabilitation treatments. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-63, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA.
- Robichaud, P.R., L.E. Ashmun, and B.D. Sims. 2010. Post-fire treatment effectiveness for hillslope stabilization. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-240, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA.
- Robichaud, P.R., S.A. Lewis, J.W. Wagenbrenner, L.E. Ashmun, and R.E. Brown. 2013. Postfire mulching for runoff and erosion mitigation. Part I: effectiveness at reducing hillslope erosion rates. Catena 105: 75–92. doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2012.11.015
- Robichaud, P.R., H. Rhee, and S.A. Lewis. 2014. A synthesis of post-fire Burned Area Reports from 1972 to 2009 for western US Forest Service lands: trends in wildfire characteristics and post-fire stabilization treatments and expenditures. International Journal of Wildland Fire 23: 929–944. doi: 10.1071/WF13192
- SAS Institute. 2001. SAS user's guide: statistics. SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA.
- Schoennagel, T.L., and D.M. Waller. 1999. Understory responses to fire and artificial seeding in an eastern Cascades *Abies grandis* forest, USA. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 29: 1390–1401. doi: 10.1139/x99-120
- Sessions, J., P. Bettinger, R. Buckman, M. Newton, and J. Hamann. 2004. Hastening the return of complex forests following fire: the consequences of delay. Journal of Forestry 102: 38–45.

- Sexton, T.O. 1998. Ecological effects of post-wildfire management activities (salvage-logging and grass-seeding) on vegetation composition, diversity, biomass, and growth and survival of *Pinus ponderosa* and *Purshia tridentata*. Thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis, USA.
- Spracklen, D.V., L.J. Mickley, J.A. Logan, R.C. Hudman, R. Yevich, M.D. Flannigan, and A.L. Westerling. 2009. Impacts of climate change from 2000 to 2050 on wildfire activity and carbonaceous aerosol concentrations in the western United States. Journal of Geophysical Research 14: 114. doi: 10.1029/2008JD010966
- Stage, A.R. 1976. Notes: an expression for the effect of aspect, slope, and habitat type on tree growth. Forest Science 22: 457–460.
- Stella, K.A., C.H. Sieg, and P.Z. Fulé. 2010. Minimal effectiveness of native and non-native seeding following three high-severity wildfires. International Journal of Wildland Fire 19: 746–758. doi: 10.1071/WF09094
- Stickney, P.F., and R.B. Campbell Jr. 2000. Database for early post-fire succession in northern Rocky Mountain forests. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-61CD, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden, Utah, USA.
- Taskey, R.D., C.L. Curtis, and J. Stone. 1989. Wildfire, ryegrass seeding, and watershed rehabilitation. Pages 115–123 in: N.H. Berg, technical coordinator. Proceedings of the Symposium on Fire and Watershed Management, Sacramento, California. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report PSW-109, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Berkeley, California, USA.
- Taylor, R.J., and G.W. Douglas. 1995. Mountain plants of the Pacific Northwest. Mountain Press Publishing Company, Missoula, Montana, USA.
- Turner, M.G., W.H. Romme, and R.H. Gardner. 1999. Prefire heterogeneity, fire severity and plant reestablishment in subalpine forests of Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming. International Journal of Wildland Fire 9: 21–36. doi: 10.1071/WF99003
- Turner, M.G., W.H. Romme, R.H. Gardner, and W.W. Hargrove. 1997. Effects of fire size and pattern on early succession in Yellowstone National Park. Ecological Monographs 67: 411– 33. doi: 10.1890/0012-9615(1997)067[0411:EOFSAP]2.0.CO;2
- Turner, M.G., W.H. Romme, and D.B. Tinker. 2003. Surprises and lessons from the 1988 Yellowstone fires. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 1: 351–358. doi: 10.1890/1540-9295(2003)001[0351:SALFTY]2.0.CO;2
- USDA [US Department of Agriculture]. 2014. The plants database. http://plants.usda.gov. Accessed 7 July 2014.
- USDA Forest Service [US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service]. 2007. Record of decision for School Fire salvage recovery project. USDA Forest Service, Umatilla National Forest, Pomeroy Ranger District, Pomeroy, Washington, USA.
- Wagenbrenner, J.W., L.H. MacDonald, R.N. Coats, P.R. Robichaud, and R.E. Brown. 2015. Effects of post-fire salvage logging and a skid trail treatment on ground cover, soils, and sediment production in the interior western United States. Forest Ecology and Management 335: 176–193. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2014.09.016
- Westerling A.L., H.G. Hidalgo, D.R. Cayan, and T.W. Swetnam. 2006. Warming and earlier spring increases western US forest wildfire activity. Science 313: 940–943. doi: 10.1126/ science.1128834
- Winer, B.J. 1971. Statistical principles in experimental design. Second edition. McGraw-Hill, New York, New York, USA.